**Examiner: David C. Thomas** 

Art Unit: 1651

AF / too

Tario 180 Pine Avenue North • Oldsmar, Florida 34677 USA
Tel: 813-929-8-15 • Fax: 813-925-8525 • www.smithhopen.com

June 25, 2007

Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Applicant: John H. Paul Serial No.: 10/707,747

Filing Date: 01/08/2004 Confirmation No.: 1746

For: Detection of Red Tide Organisms by Nucleic Acid Amplification

Our Reference: 1372.120.PRC

Dear Sir:

#### Enclosed please find the following:

1. Brief of Appellant having a Certificate of Mailing dated June 25, 2007;

- 2. Exhibit A A Single Origin of the Peridinin- and Fucoxanthin- Containing Plastids in Dinoflagellates through Tertiary Endosymbiosis;
- 3. Exhibit B A Single Origin of the Peridinin- and Fucoxanthin- Containing Plastids in Dinoflagellates through Tertiary Endosymbiosis Support Information Table 1;
- 4. Exhibit C A Single Origin of the Peridinin- and Fucoxanthin- Containing Plastids in Dinoflagellates through Tertiary Endosymbiosis Support Information Table 2;
- 5. Exhibit D GenBank Accession No. AY119786;
- 6. Exhibit E Center for Culture of Marine Phytoplankton Catalog, CCMP718 Karenia brevis;
- 7. Exhibit F Design Strategies and Performance of Custom DNA Sequence Primers;
- 8. Exhibit G In re Deuel;
- 9. Credit Card Payment form PTO-2038 in the amount of \$250.00; and.
- 10. Self-addressed, postage prepaid post card to serve as a receipt for items 1-9.

Very respectfully,

#### **SMITH & HOPEN**

By: Thomas E. Toner tom.toner@smithhopen.com

TET/at Enclosures

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. 1.10)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this Appeal Brief is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. EM057595284US, addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on. June 25, 2007

Date: June 25, 2007

April Turley



#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10/707,747

Confirmation No. 1746

Applicant

John H. Paul

Filed:

01/08/2004

TC/A.U.

1651

Examiner

David C. Thomas

Docket No.

1372.120.PRC

Customer No.:

21,901

For

**Detection of Red Tide Organisms by Nucleic Acid Amplification** 

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

### FIRST AMENDED BRIEF OF APPELANT

#### Sir/Madam:

In furtherance of its appeal from the Non-Final Rejection mailed 23 January 2007, Applicant hereby submits its Appeal Brief.

86/26/2887 CNEGA1

99999964 19797747

91 FC:2402

250.00 OP

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

HEADINGS	PAGE NO.
Real Party In Interest	3
Related Appeals and Interferences	4
Status of Claims.	5
Status of Amendments	6
Summary of Claimed Subject Matter	7
Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal	8
Argument	9-17
Claims Appendix	18-19
Evidence Appendix	20
Exhibit A	21-27
Exhibit B	28-33
Exhibit C	34-37
Exhibit D.	38-40
Exhibit E	41-42
Exhibit F	43-52
Exhibit G.	53-62
Related Proceedings Appendix	63
Conclusion.	64

#### 1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is the University of South Florida, the assignee of record, which is a state university, organized under the laws of the State of Florida, as evidenced by the assignment set forth at Reel 013396, Frame 0478.

### 2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

None

## 3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Rejected claims: 16-21, 24-30

Canceled claims: 1-15, 22-23

Withdrawn Claims: 31-36

Claims under appeal: 16-21, 24-30

## 4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments have been made subsequent to the non-final rejection by the Office dated January 23, 2007.

6

#### 5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Citations to the specification are by page and line number. A concise explanation of the invention defined in the claims involved in this appeal is provided below. Claim 16 is the only independent claim on appeal.

Claim 16 recites a method for screening a sample for the presence of *K. brevis* (page 1, lines 28-29), comprising: subjecting the sample to amplification using a pair of oligonucleotide primers (page 7, lines 14-16) capable of amplifying a target region of the rubulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase large subunit (rbcL) of *K. brevis* (page 1, lines 29-31); and assaying the mRNA for the presence of the amplified target region of the ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) unique to *K. brevis* (page 2, lines 1-2).

#### 6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

- I. Whether the Office erred in rejecting claims 16 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being rendered obvious by Yoon et al. in view of Buck et al. and further in view of GenBank Accession No. AY119786.<sup>1</sup>
- II. Whether the Office erred in rejecting claims 19-21, 24 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being rendered obvious by Yoon et al. in view of Bowers et al. and further in view of Wilson et al. and further in view of Buck et al. and in still further view of GenBank Accession No. AY119786.<sup>2</sup>
- III. Whether the Office erred in rejecting claims 26-30 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being rendered obvious by Yoon et al. in view of Leone et al. and further in view of Wilson et al. and further in view of Buck et al. and in still further view of GenBank Accession No. AY119786.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non-Final Action, page 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Non-Final Action, page 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Non-Final Action, page 11

#### 7. ARGUMENT

I. The Office erred in rejecting claims 16 - 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Yoon et al. in view of Buck et al. and further in view of GenBank Accession No. AY119786 because Yoon does not teach a method of detecting K. brevis in a sample.

The Office has mischaracterized the teachings of Yoon. The Office alleges that Yoon teaches a method for screening a sample for the presence of *K. brevis*. (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 3, lines 7-8). Yoon, however, teaches a method of determining the origin of plastids in red algae and dinoflagellates. The *K. brevis* samples in Yoon were pure samples, therefore making the detection of *K. brevis* in a sample unnecessary. Table 1 of the supporting information shows that the *K. brevis* used was obtained from the Center for Culture of Marine Phytoplankton (CCMP 718). (see Table 1, Yoon et al., supporting information; attached hereto as Exhibit B). This culture, CCMP 718, was isolated in the 1960's. (CCMP catalog, CCMP718; attached hereto as Exhibit E). Therefore, the assertion that Yoon teaches a method for screening a sample for the *K. brevis* is at odds with the fact that Yoon used a pure *K. brevis* sample. Accordingly, any primer sequence derived from the rbcL gene, or any other gene for that matter, would amplify the pure culture of *K. brevis*. Yoon, at best, teaches a method of amplifying a pure sample rather than detecting the presence of *K. brevis* in an impure sample.

Next, the Office alleges the method disclosed by Yoon further comprises: using a pair of oligonucleotide primers capable of amplifying a target region of the ribulose 1,5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) of *K. brevis* (amplification using species specific primers, page 11725, column 1, lines 13-24 and Table 2, supporting information). (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 3, line 19 through page 4, line 4). Yoon, in the relevant passage, states:

PCRs were done by using <u>specific primers</u> for each <u>of the plastid genes</u> (Table 2, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). The presence of highly variable third codon positions in the <u>psaA gene</u> led us to use <u>species-specific</u> primers based on sequences in sister species. Because introns were found in the <u>psaA</u> gene of some red algae, the reverse transcription (RT)-PCR method was used to isolate cDNA. For the RT-PCR,

total RNA was extracted by using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). To synthesize cDNA from total RNA, Moloney murine leukemia virus Reverse Transcriptase (GIBCO/BRL) was used following the manufacturer's protocol. (Yoon, page 11725, column 1, lines 13-23, emphasis added).

Yoon does not teach the use of species specific primers for the detection of a dinoflagellate in a sample. Rather, Yoon used primers specific to the plastids being tested (namely, the *psaA*, *psaB* and *rbcL* genes) and not a particular species. Yoon only used species specific primers for detection of the *psaA* gene and not the rbcL gene. Table 2, on which the Office relies to support the finding that Yoon teaches species specific primers for use with the rbcL gene, indicates that <u>all</u> primer sequences used to amplify the rbcL gene are general with regard to specificity (with the exception of R-753 which is only specific to the family Bangiaceae). Yoon teaches only the use of non-specific (general) primers for use with the rbcL gene. Nothing in Yoon teaches that the rbcL gene of *K. brevis* contains a sequence that is unique to that species.

Next, the Office alleges that Yoon teaches "assaying the mRNA for the presence of the amplified target region of the [rbcL] unique to *K. brevis*." (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 3, lines 13-14). The Office continues:

PCR products generated from total RNA were sequenced using dye terminators as probes, p. 11725, column 1, lines 20-29; sequences are unique to *K. brevis*, p. 11726, column 1, lines 8-14 and Figure 1A and B and GenBank Accession No. AY119786; BLAST search indicates primers amplify a region unique to *K. brevis*, see BLAST results. (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 3, lines 14-18).

The passage upon which the Office relies to support the allegation that the "sequences were unique to *K. brevis*" is reproduced below:


These data provide strong support, therefore, for the monophyly of fucoxanthin-containing and haptophyte plastids. Our results, using an expanded data set of *rbcL* sequences, is consistent with previous reports (32). The two genera of fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates, *Karenia* and *Karlodinium*, are paraphyletic at the base of haptophyte clade, a result that is also found in the *psbA* tree. (Yoon, page 11726, col. 1, lines 8-14).

This passage is devoid of any statement that could lead one to conclude that the sequences used where unique to *K. brevis*. To the contrary, Yoon states it used "an expanded data set of rbcL sequences." (Yoon, page 11726, column 1, line 10). The only conclusion to be drawn from this statement is that the genus *Karenia* (which includes both *K. brevis* and *K. mikimotoi*) and the genus *Karlodinium* contain some, but not all, of the descendants from a common ancestor (*i.e.*, they are paraphyletic). Rather than speak to the uniqueness of the rbcL gene of *K. brevis*, this passage shows the close relationship among the genus *Karenia* with the sister genus *Karlodinium* as well as others with regard to the rbcL gene.

The Office also relies on Figures 1A and 1B. (Yoon, page 11726). Figures 1A and B show a phylogeny of red algal and red algal-derived plastids using combined *psaA* and *psbA* sequences, the rbcL gene was not used. *Id.* Both Figures 1A and 1B were created using LogDet values (a.k.a., paralinear distances). Distance methods, such as LogDet, attempt to measure the number of changes per site since two species (sequences) split. LogDet analysis provides only distances between sequences but does not reveal sequences, unique or otherwise. The figures cited only show that the disclosed genera have varying distances between common sequences of the *psaA* and *psaB* plastids. It cannot be concluded from Yoon whether the sequences establishing the paralinear distance in each genera are unique to a species.

Consider the following example wherein sequence 1 and 2 are proximate in a given ancestor.

Varying sequences develop adjacent, and between, the formerly proximate sequence as the ancestor gives rise to diverging species.



As shown in this example, the evolution of different sequences between ancestral sequence 1 and 2 yields a variance in paralineal distances (A<sup>1</sup> and B<sup>1</sup>) sufficient to distinguish Species A from Species B based on LogDet values. The LogDat values, however, represent only the distances between the ancestral sequences and not the sequences themselves. Moreover, although the sequences occur in different loci, no sequence shown in either species is unique.

Figure 2B, which was created using LogDet values of the rbcL gene, shows that several species have identical LogDet values on the species level (species identities were known prior to testing). (Yoon, page 11727). As shown, *K. brevis*, *K. mikimotoi*, *Pavlova lutherii*, and *Pavlova gyrans* all have LogDet values of 100 on the species level. Therefore, the method employed by Yoon is not capable of detecting *K. brevis* in a sample because numerous species would provide false positives using the methods of Yoon.

Next, the Office claims that a BLAST search performed by the Office indicates that the primers taught by Yoon amplify a region unique to *K. brevis*. (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 3, lines 17-18). The Office alleges that "upon further analysis of the sequence amplified by the rbcL gene primers taught by Yoon (Table 2), the amplified target region sequence is unique to *K. brevis* based on a BLAST search of the 158-basepair amplicon (see BLAST search results) and does not share extensive homology with *K. mikimotoi*." (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 16, lines 8-12).

While the Applicant appreciates the efforts of the Office, the BLAST search is an exercise in hindsight. A BLAST search performed by an examiner during examination does not establish that a primer sequence disclosed in a reference was known to be unique at the time the reference was authored or at the time the invention was made. As previously established, Yoon described all primer sequences for the rbcL gene as general (see Table 2, Yoon et al. supporting information; attached hereto as Exhibit C). Even assuming the Office's findings are correct, the Office has not established that it was known at the time the invention was made that the primers taught by Yoon would amplify a sequence of the rbcL gene unique to K. brevis. The BLAST search is also insufficient because it only reveals that K. brevis does not share extensive homology with K. mikimotoi, only one other species. The BLAST search does not establish the amplified region is unique across a range of species.

Lastly, the Office relies on GenBank Accession No. AY119786 which lists 907 base pairs, less than two-thirds of *Karenia's* rbcL gene, of linear DNA derived from the rbcL gene of

K. brevis. (Application, page 3, line 28). Nothing contained within the NCBI database, however, indicates that any of the sequences listed are unique to K. brevis or that the rbcL gene is a likely candidate for containing a unique sequence. Youn discloses that the Form I rbcL gene is the primitive (i.e., not derived) condition in dinoflagellates, emphasizing its ubiquitous nature. There is also no teaching of what portion, if any, of the sequence deposited in the GenBank Database is unique to K. brevis, or of any method to determine same. Simply stated, the references do not teach or infer that a unique sequence exists on the rbcL gene.

As Applicant has shown, Yoon does not teach a method of detecting the presence of *K. brevis* in a sample. Yoon does not teach a sequence of the rbcL gene that is unique to *K. brevis*, or that the rbcL gene contains a unique sequence. Assuming, *arguendo*, that the primer sequences taught by Yoon amplify a region of the rbcL gene that is unique to *K. brevis*, nothing in Yoon indicates such and, therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would not be motivated to use the sequences taught by Yoon. Moreover, there was no reasonable expectation of success based on the fact that Yoon is silent as to the uniqueness of the rbcL gene of *K. brevis* as well as Yoon's categorization of the rbcL primers as general. The remaining references are moot regarding the claimed invention and do not support a finding of obviousness with or without Yoon.

For these reasons, Yoon et al. in view of Buck et al. and further in view of GenBank Accession No. AY119786 fail to render the claimed invention obvious. It is therefore respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) be reversed.

II. The Office erred in rejecting claims 19-21, 24 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Yoon et al. in view of Bowers at al. and further in view of Wilson et al. and further in view of Buck et al. and in still further view of GenBank Accession No. AY119786 because Yoon does not teach any of the elements of claim 16.

The Office asserts that "it would have been *prima facie* obvious... to combine the methods of Yoon, Bowers and Wilson [because] Yoon teaches the detection of a sequence specific to *K. brevis* in the rbcL gene using a reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction method," and "Bowers describes a real-time polymerase chain reaction using an internal fluorescent probe to detect harmful dinoflagellates in a rapid, homogenous assay," and lastly that "Wilson teaches methods to design species-specific primers in order to detect single species in samples containing

many different related or unrelated species." (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 8, lines 10-17). As previously demonstrated, Yoon does not teach a method of detecting *K. brevis* at all.

The Office then concludes, without factual support, that "an ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine these methods to provide a rapid test for harmful algal bloom species that results in an added level of specificity compared with assays based on traditional PCR methodology." (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 8, lines 17 -20).

The specific primers claimed are rejected by reliance on the "recent" court decision *In re Deuel*. (51 F.3d 1552 (Fed. Cir. 1995). In *Deuel*, as admitted by the Office, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit determined that the "existence of a general method of identifying a specific DNA does not make the specific DNA obvious." (Office Action dated February 28, 2006, page 16, lines 10-12). It is not clear, however, how this case supports the position that the mere existence of a general method of using sequence information for differentiating among taxa would make a primer for a species-specific sequence obvious.

The Office quotes from *Deuel*, stating that "a prior art compound may suggest its homologs because homologs often have similar properties and therefore chemists of ordinary skill would ordinarily contemplate making them to try to obtain compounds with improved properties." *Id.* at 17-20. The following paragraph from *Deuel*, which was not provided by the Office, however, states:

In all of these cases, however, the prior art teaches a specific, structurally-definable compound and the question becomes whether the prior art would have suggested making the specific molecular modifications necessary to achieve the claimed invention. (*In re Deuel* at 1558).

The references, alone or in combination, do not disclose a specific, structurally-definable sequence unique to *K. brevis*.

Moreover, *Deuel* unambiguously states that "a *prima facie* case of unpatentability requires that the teachings of the prior art suggest *the claimed compounds* to a person of ordinary skill in the art" when new chemical entities are claimed in structural terms. (*Id.* at 1557, emphasis in original).

Deuel makes it clear that "while the general idea of the claimed molecules, their function, and their general chemical nature may have been obvious from the prior art, and that the knowledge that some sequence exists, the precise molecules are not obvious without a teaching of the claimed, or closely related, molecule. (*Id.* at 1558). The Court expressly stated that "[a] general motivation to search for some gene that exists does not necessarily make obvious a

specifically-defined gene that is subsequently obtained as a result of that search." (*Id.*). Here, as was the case in *Deuel*, more is needed to support a finding of obviousness. (*Id.*).

The Office next asserts that Buck provides evidence of the equivalence of primers. (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 10, line 8; page 15, line 2). Applicant respectfully points out that none of the references teach a specific primer of which the claimed invention can be an equivalent. The Office continues, however, placing the following "emphasis" on Buck's findings:

Buck found that EVERY SINGLE PRIMER worked (see page 533, column 1). Only one primer ever failed, No. 8, and that primer functioned when repeated. Further, EVERY SINGLE CONTROL PRIMER functioned as well (see page 533, column 1). (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 10, lines 14-17; page 15, lines 8-11, emphasis in original).

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Offices characterization of Buck. The broad interpretation given to Buck by the Office would render all primers obvious. The rejection also disregards the unobvious and indispensable element of deciding which sequence to amplify. Just as it is improper to pick and choose elements from the prior art to construct a finding of obviousness, it is improper to pick and choose portions of a particular reference without considering the reference as a whole. An accurate characterization of Buck's findings is clearly outlined in the abstract.

We conclude that under optimal sequencing conditions with highly pure template and primer, many of the commonly applied primer design parameters are dispensable." (Buck, page 528, Abstract, emphasis added).

In Buck, a panel of 95 primers were synthesized as controls and 69 primers were submitted as tests. (Buck, page 530, column 1). "The plasmid template was preselected to contain a test sequence lacking obstacles to sequence extension... and purified by double banding in CsClethidium bromide isopycnic density gradients." (Buck, page 530, column 2, emphasis added). In short, the template was cherry-picked for success, as evidenced by the statement in Buck that "this template was extremely pure and optimal for sequencing." (Id. at 535, column 2). Creating an ideal environment with a template consisting of best-case scenario design can hardly be equated to a real-world environment. Buck clearly states that template purity and technical expertise "possibly played greater rolls" in the success experienced, rather than the obviousness of the primers. (Id. at 535, column 2). The Office has also disregarded the disclaimer:

Different results may be obtained using less carefully purified DNA templates with unusual sequences or structures in less rigorously controlled sequencing operations. (*Id.* at 536, column 1).

Regardless of the inadequacies of the *prima facie* case, claims 19-21, 24 and 25 depend from claim 16. Claim 16 has been shown to be nonobvious over the prior art, *supra*. It is well settled that if an independent claim is nonobvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), then any claim depending therefrom is nonobvious as a matter of law. (MPEP §2143.03; see also *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988)). Therefore, the rejection of claims 19-21, 24 and 25 is improper and should be reversed.

IV. The Office erred in rejecting claims 26-30 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Yoon et al. in view of Leone et al. and further in view of Wilson et al. and further in view of Buck et al. and in still further view of GenBank Accession No. AY119786 because Yoon does not teach any of the elements of claim 16.

Lastly, claims 26-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by Yoon et al. in view of Leone et al. and further in view of Wilson et al. and further in view of Buck et al. and in still further view of GenBank Accession No. AY119786. Here the Office asserts that Yoon, in view of Buck and GenBank Accession No. AY119786, teaches the limitations of claims 16-18. Applicant has shown, *supra*, this is not the case.

The Office then alleges that, with regard to claims 27 and 30, Yoon teaches that the primers specific to a target region of the *K. brevis* rbcL gene consisting of SEQ. ID. No. 4 (Table 1 and GenBank Accession No. AY119786, positions 733-751) and SEQ. ID. NO. 5 (Table 1 and GenBank Accession No. AY119786, positions 819-798) to generate an 87-base pair amplicon (from positions 733 to 819 of GenBank Accession No. AY119786). (Office Action dated January 23, 2007, page 11, lines 10-18). Here, the Office claims the primer sequences, SEQ. ID. NOs. 4 and 5, are disclosed in the DNA disclosed in GenBank Accession No. AY119786, positions 733-751 and positions 819-798. *Id.* The Office points to the same DNA sequence to show both the primers and the target, which is scientifically flawed. Moreover, Applicant does not claim the DNA sequences of the rbcL gene but rather a primer having a sequence *consisting* of SEQ. ID. NO. 4 and SEQ. ID. NO. 5. The Office has not shown why an artisan would select primers which *consist* of those sequences.

Regardless of the inadequacies of the *prima facie* case, claims 26-30 depend from claim 16. Claim 16 has been shown above to be nonobvious over the prior art. It is well settled that

if an independent claim is nonobvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), then any claim depending therefrom is nonobvious as a matter of law. (MPEP §2143.03; see also *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071). Therefore, the rejection of claims 26-30 is improper and should be reversed.

#### 8. CLAIMS APPENDIX

Serial No:

10/707,747

Filed:

08 January 2004

Title:

Detection of Red Tide Organisms by Nucleic Acid Amplification

#### **REJECTED CLAIMS**

- 16. A method for screening a sample for the presence of *K. brevis*, comprising:
  - subjecting the sample to amplification using a pair of oligonucleotide primers capable of amplifying a target region of the ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) of *K. brevis*; and
  - assaying the mRNA for the presence of the amplified target region of the ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) unique to *K. brevis*.
- 17. The method of claim 16 wherein the pair of oligonucleotide primers specifically amplify mRNA od a target region of the ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) of *K. brevis* and do not amplify a region of the ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) of *K. mikimotoi*.
- 18. The method of claim 16 wherein the target region of the ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) of *K. brevis* is about 87 to 91 base pairs in length
- 19. The method of claim 16 wherein the amplification process is selected from the group consisting of real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction and quantitative thermocycling.
- 20. The method of claim 19 wherein the pair of oligonucleotide primers consist of SEQ. ID. No. 1 and SEQ. ID. No. 2.
- 21. The method of claim 20 wherein the pair of oligonucleotide primers are specific to a target region of the ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) of *K. brevis* about 91 base pairs in length.
- 24. The method of claim 20 wherein the amplification process is applied to the sample in the presence of a probe.
- 25. The method of claim 24 wherein the probe consists of SEQ. ID. No. 6.

- 26. The method of claim 16 wherein the amplification process is real time nucleic acid sequence based amplification.
- 27. The method of claim 26 wherein the pair of oligonucleotide primers consist of SEQ. ID. No. 4 and SEQ. ID. No. 5.
- 28. The method of claim 26 wherein the amplification process is applied to sample in the presence of a probe.
- 29. The method of claim 28 wherein the probe comprises a nucleotide sequence consisting of SEQ. ID. No. 3.
- 30. The method of claim 26 wherein the pair of oligonucleotide primers is specific to a target region of the ribulose 1, 5-biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) of K. brevis about 87 base pairs in length.

#### 9. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

Exhibit A: Yoon et al., A Single Origin of the Peridinin- and Fucoxanthin-Containing Plastids in Dinoflagellates Through Tertiary Endosymbiosis; (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. (2002) 99: 11724-11729).

Exhibit B: Yoon et al., A Single Origin of the Peridinin- and Fucoxanthin-Containing Plastids in Dinoflagellates Through Tertiary Endosymbiosis, Supporting information, Table 1; http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/172234799/DC1/1.

Exhibit C: Yoon et al., A Single Origin of the Peridinin- and Fucoxanthin-Containing Plastids in Dinoflagellates Through Tertiary Endosymbiosis, Supporting information, Table 2; <a href="http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/172234799/DC1/2">http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/172234799/DC1/2</a>

Exhibit D: GenBank Accession No. AY119786;
<a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/viewer.fcgi?db=nuccore&id=219136">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/viewer.fcgi?db=nuccore&id=219136</a>
74

Exhibit E: Center for Culture of Marine Phytoplankton catalog, CCMP718 Karenia brevis;

<a href="http://ccmp.bigelow.org/SD/display.php?strain=CCMP718&genus=Karenia&Species=brevis&Class=Dinophyceae">http://ccmp.bigelow.org/SD/display.php?strain=CCMP718&genus=Karenia&Species=brevis&Class=Dinophyceae</a>

Exhibit F: Buck et al., Design Strategies and Performance of Custom DNA Sequencing Primers; (Biotechniques (1999) 27: 528-536).

Exhibit G: In re Deuel, 51 F.3d 1552, 63 USLW 2624, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 1210 (C.A.F.C., 1995).

# Exhibit A

## A single origin of the peridinin- and fucoxanthincontaining plastids in dinoflagellates through tertiary endosymbiosis

Hwan Su Yoon, Jeremiah D. Hackett, and Debashish Bhattacharya<sup>†</sup>

Department of Biological Sciences and Center for Comparative Genomics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 85542-1324

Edited by Hewson Swift, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, and approved June 26, 2002 (received for review April 18, 2002)

The most widely distributed dinoflagellate plastid contains chlorophyll c2 and peridinin as the major carotenoid. A second plastid type, found in taxa such as Karlodinium micrum and Karenia spp., contains chlorophylls c1 + c2 and 19'-hexanoyloxy-fucoxanthin and/or 19'-butanoyloxy-fucoxanthin but lacks peridinin. Because the presence of chlorophylls  $c_1 + c_2$  and fucoxanthin is typical of haptophyte algae, the second plastid type is believed to have originated from a haptophyte tertiary endosymbiosis in an ancestral peridinin-containing dinoflagellate. This hypothesis has, however, never been thoroughly tested in plastid trees that contain genes from both peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates. To address this issue, we sequenced the plastid-encoded psaA (photosystem | P700 chlorophyll a apoprotein A1), psbA (photosystem II reaction center protein D1), and "Form I" rbcL (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase) genes from various red and dinoflagellate algae. The combined psaA + psbA tree shows significant support for the monophyly of peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates as sister to the haptophytes. The monophyly with haptophytes is robustly recovered in the psbA phylogeny in which we increased the sampling of dinoflagellates to 14 species. As expected from previous analyses, the fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates formed a wellsupported sister group with haptophytes in the rbcL tree. Based on these analyses, we postulate that the plastid of peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates originated from a haptophyte tertiary endosymbiosis that occurred before the split of these lineages. Our findings imply that the presence of chlorophylls  $c_1 + c_2$  and fucoxanthin, and the Form I rbcL gene are in fact the primitive (not derived, as widely believed) condition in dinoflagellates.

One of the most intriguing stories in plastid evolution is found in the dinoflagellate algae. This diverse, predominantly unicellular group is characterized by having one transverse and one longitudinal flagellum and a distinct layer that lies beneath the cell membrane (the amphiesma). Only about one-half of dinoflagellates are photosynthetic and many of these species are mixotrophic (1). Some heterotrophic dinoflagellates have acquired a temporary plastid in their cytoplasm (2). Others, such as *Symbiodinium* spp., are themselves endosymbionts of corals (3). Regardless of trophic condition, the dinoflagellates are an important component of marine ecosystems as symbionts and primary producers, and as the main source of toxic red tides (1, 4).

Photosynthetic dinoflagellates contain several types of plastids. The most common type is a 3-membrane bound plastid that contains chlorophyll  $c_2$  with peridinin as the main carotenoid (5, 6). Secondary endosymbiosis, in which a photosynthetic eukaryote (in this case, a red alga) was engulfed by a nonphotosynthetic protist, is widely accepted as the origin of this plastid (7-11). Peridinin is believed to have evolved in the plastid of the ancestral dinoflagellate, with other plastid types being subsequent replacements of this organelle through tertiary (the uptake of an alga containing a secondary endosymbiont) endosymbiosis (11). A second type of plastid found in *Karenia brevis* 

(as Gymnodinium breve), Karenia mikimotoi (as Gymnodinium mikimotoi), and Karlodinium micrum (as Gymnodinium galatheanum) (12) is surrounded by three membranes and contains chlorophylls  $c_1 + c_2$  and 19'-hexanoyloxy-fucoxanthin and/or 19'-butanoyloxy-fucoxanthin, but lacks peridinin (6, 13, 14). These taxa are believed to be monophyletic, and their plastid is believed to have originated from a haptophyte alga through a tertiary endosymbiosis in their common ancestor (15). Haptophyte algae are primarily unicellular marine taxa that have external body scales composed of calcium carbonate known as coccoliths, two anterior flagella, and plastids surrounded by four membranes. Haptophyte plastids also contain chlorophylls  $c_1$  +  $c_2$  and fucoxanthin (6). Tertiary endosymbiosis explains the origin of the plastid in several other dinoflagellates: cryptomonad-like plastid (i.e., Dinophysis acuminata; ref. 16), diatomlike plastid (i.e., Peridinium foliaceum; refs. 17 and 18), and prasinophyte-like plastid (i.e., Lepidodinium viride; ref. 19). Like Karlodinium and Karenia, all dinoflagellates containing anomalous plastids are thought to trace their ancestry to a peridinincontaining common ancestor (10, 15). A single study using limited photosystem II reaction center protein D1 (psbA) data has suggested otherwise, i.e., that both fucoxanthin and peridinin dinoflagellates may share a single plastid ancestor, although no haptophytes were included in this analysis (20).

The idea that plastids with fucoxanthin are the result of a replacement of the secondary, peridinin-containing plastid in Karenia and Karolodinium has yet to be rigorously tested by using phylogenies that contain sequence data from both types of plastids. To address this gap in our knowledge, we sequenced 36 psaA (photosystem I P700 chlorophyll a apoprotein A1), 36 psbA, and 23 "Form I" rbcL (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase) plastid-encoded coding regions from various red and dinoflagellate algae. These sequences were analyzed to infer a phylogeny with both peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellate plastids in a context of broad taxon sampling.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Algal Cultures and Sequencing. The algal cultures were obtained from the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP; Dunbeg, United Kingdom), Provasoli-Guillard National Center for Culture of Marine Phytoplankton (CCMP, West Boothbay Harbor, ME), the Sammlung von Algenkulturen (SAG) at the University of Göttingen (Göttingen, Germany), and the Culture

This paper was submitted directly (Track II) to the PNAS office.

Abbreviations: psaA, photosystem I P700 chlorophyll a apoprotein A1; psbA, photosystem II reaction center protein D1; rbcl, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase; ME, minimum evolution; LgD, LogDet; MCMC, Markov chain Monte Carlo; SH, Shimodaira-Haseqawa.

Data deposition: The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the GenBank database (accession nos. AY119693–AY119789).

See commentary on page 115\$8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>To whom reprint requests should be addressed. E-mail: dbhattac@blue.weeg.uiowa.edu.

EVOLUTION

Collection of Algae at the University of Texas at Austin (UTEX). Some of Cyanidiales red algae were collected in the field and maintained at the Dipartimento di Biologia Vegetale (DBV) culture collection at the University of Naples, Italy. Chondrus crispus and Palmaria palmata were collected from Nova Scotia and Maine. The species, strain numbers and collection sites of these taxa are listed in Table 1, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site, www.pnas.org. The algal cultures were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground with glass beads by using a glass rod and/or MiniBeadBeater (Biospec Products, Bartlesville, OK). Total genomic DNA was extracted by using the DNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). PCRs were done by using specific primers for each of the plastid genes (Table 2, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). The presence of highly variable third codon positions in the psaA gene led us to use speciesspecific primers based on sequences in sister species. Because introns were found in the psaA gene of some red algae, the reverse transcription (RT)-PCR method was used to isolate cDNA. For the RT-PCR, total RNA was extracted by using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). To synthesize cDNA from total RNA, Moloney murine leukemia virus Reverse Transcriptase (GIBCO/BRL) was used following the manufacturer's protocol. PCR products were purified by using the QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen), and were used for direct sequencing with the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems), and an ABI-3100 at the Center for Comparative Genomics at the University of Iowa. Some PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T vector (Promega) before sequencing.

Phylogenetic Analyses. Sequences were manually aligned by using SEQPUP (21). The data sets used in the phylogenetic analyses are available from D.B. In the first analysis, we used a collection of concatenated psaA and psbA genes that contained 22 rhodophytes, 4 cryptophytes, 7 haptophytes, 4 stramenopiles, 7 dinoflagellates, 2 chlorophytes, and a glaucophyte as the outgroup. In the second analysis of a psbA data set, we added 8 peridinin-containing dinoflagellates, 3 stramenopiles, and 1 cryptophyte. In the third data set of rbcL sequences, we used 40 taxa that contained the "red-type Form I" rbcL gene (i.e., excluding the chlorophyte, glaucophyte, and peridinincontaining dinoflagellates, see ref. 22), with the Cyanidiales red algae as the outgroup. Trees were inferred with the minimum evolution (ME) method using LogDet (ME-LgD) distances (23) and the PAUP\*4.0b8 (24) computer program. Ten heuristic searches with random-addition-sequence starting trees and tree bisection and reconnection branch rearrangements were done to find the optimal ME tree. To test the stability of monophyletic groups in the ME tree, 2,000 bootstrap replicates were analyzed (25) with the DNA (LogDet distance) and protein (Poisson corrected distances, MEGA V2.0; ref. 26) data sets (ME-Pr). We also conducted Bayesian analysis of the DNA data (MRBAYES v2.0; Ba-D; ref. 27) using a general time reversible (GTR) model and a site-specific y parameter for each codon site. Bayesian posterior probabilities are roughly equivalent to maximum likelihood bootstrap analysis (28, 29). Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) from a random starting tree was initiated in the Bayesian inference and run for 500,000 generations. A consensus tree was made with the MCMC trees after convergence. For the rbcL data, the GTR + I +  $\Gamma$  model was used in the Bayesian inference using only first + second codon positions (810 nt).

The Shimodaira-Hasegawa (SH) nonparametric bootstrap test was used to compare alternative phylogenetic hypotheses regarding the position of peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates (30). The SH test was done by using PAUP\*v4.08b, with RELL (resampling estimated log-likelihood) optimization, and 100,000 bootstrap replicates.

#### Results

PsaA + PsbA Phylogeny. A total 2,352 nucleotides and 784 amino acids from 47 taxa were used in the analysis of the psaA + psbAdata set. The ME-LgD tree of the concatenated sequences shows strong support for the monophyly of fucoxanthin- and peridinin-containing dinoflagellates (ME-LgD = 99%, ME-Pr = 99%, Fig. 1A). The dinoflagellates are positioned as sister to the haptophytes with robust bootstrap support and a significant Bayesian posterior probability for this node (ME-LgD = 100%, ME-Pr = 77%, Ba-D = 1.0). Use of only first and second, or only the most highly conserved second codon positions of the psaA + psbA data set in ME-LgD analyses also recovered monophyly of fucoxanthin- and peridinin-containing dinoflagellate plastids (results not shown). In Fig. 1A, P. foliaceum is nested within the Skeletonema and Odontella clade with strong bootstrap and Bayesian support, confirming its origin from a diatom through plastid replacement (17, 18).

We tested alternative hypotheses by using the data set of all three codon positions of psaA + psbA and the SH test (Fig. 1B). In these analyses, the paraphyly of the fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates was not rejected (Fig. 1B, tree 1; P = 0.296), whereas the monophyly of peridinin-containing and rhodophyte plastids (the conventional hypothesis; Fig 1B, tree 2; P < 0.000) and stramenopiles plastids (Fig. 1B, tree 3; P = 0.004) was resoundingly rejected. Forcing two independent origins of peridinin in the plastids of Amphidinium and Heterocapsa resulted in a significantly worse tree (Fig. 1B, trees 4 and 5), suggesting that peridinin had a single origin as shown in Fig. 1A.

PsbA Phylogeny. The ME-LgD tree of psbA sequences, which was inferred from a data set of 957 nucleotides and 319 amino acids from 59 taxa, shows a very similar topology to the psaA + psbAphylogeny. The dinoflagellates form a monophyletic clade with haptophytes (Fig. 24). The dinoflagellates + haptophytes clade is positioned as sister to the stramenopiles, with moderate to strong support in the minimum evolution (ME-Pr = 78%) and Bayesian (P = 1.0) analyses. Within the dinoflagellate clade, the 11 peridinin-containing species are monophyletic with strong support (ME-LgD = 88%, ME-Pr = 99%, Ba-D = 1.0), whereas the fucoxanthin-containing species are paraphyletic at the base of this lineage, consistent with the SH test using the psaA + psbA data (Fig. 1B, tree 1). The peridinin-containing dinoflagellates form two major clades, one that includes Amphidinium + Heterocapsa, and a second that contains the remainder of the species. Again, use of first and second, or only the second codon positions of the psbA data set in ME-LgD analyses showed monophyly of fucoxanthin- and peridinin-containing dinoflagellate plastids (results not shown). As found above, the P. foliaceum psbA sequence grouped with the diatoms (i.e., with Odontella and Skeletonema).

Rbcl. Phylogeny. The ME-LgD analysis of the first and second codon positions of the "Form I" rbcL gene supports the sister group relationship of fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellate and haptophyte plastids with a significant Bayesian posterior probability (P = 0.98; Fig. 2B) for this node (see Fig. 4, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site, for the complete tree). The ME-LgD and ME-Pr bootstrap analyses provided, however, only weak support for dinoflagellatehaptophyte monophyly (65% and 31%, respectively). The usage of all three rbcL codon positions in the ME-LgD analysis resulted in a phylogeny that did not support the monophyly of fucoxanthin-containing and haptophyte plastids. The branch lengths within the Karlodinium-Karenia clade were, however, relatively long compared with the haptophyte rbcL sequences when third codons positions were included. This likely explains the "attraction" (31) of the fucoxanthin clade to the outgroup




Fig. 1. Phylogeny of red algal and red algal-derived plastids by using the combined psaA and psbA sequences. (A) Minimum evolution tree using LogDet distances. The bold letters indicate all dinoflagellates, whereas the underlined taxa contain fucoxanthin. A total of 2,352 nucleotides were considered. The LogDet bootstrap values (2,000 replications) are shown above the branches, and ME protein Poisson bootstrap values are shown below the branches. The thick branches denote >95% posterior probability for groups to the right resulting from a Bayesian inference. A total of 500,000 MCMC generations were run, and the posterior probabilities were determined from 4,368 probable trees. (B) Comparison of the best ME-LgD tree to alternative topologies by using the nonparametric SH bootstrap test. The best tree favored a sister group relationship of peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates plastids, however, not significantly (tree 1). The monophyly of dinoflagellate and haptophyte plastid (trees 2 and 3), and the single origin of peridinin-containing dinoflagellate is, however, significantly supported (trees 4 and 5).

Cyanidiales in this tree (results not shown). In support of this hypothesis, use of  $\gamma$ -corrected distances (ME-GTR + I +  $\Gamma$ ) recovered the monophyly of fucoxanthin-containing and haptophyte plastids and Bayesian inference by using the rbcL amino acid sequences and the  $JTT + \Gamma$  model showed a significant posterior probability for the node uniting fucoxanthin-containing and haptophyte plastids (P = 1.0; results not shown). These data provide strong support, therefore, for the monophyly of fucoxanthin-containing and haptophyte plastids. Our results, using an expanded data set of rbcL sequences, is consistent with previous reports (32). The two genera of fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates, Karenia and Karlodinium, are paraphyletic at the base of haptophyte clade, a result that is also found in the psbA tree.

Additional Analyses. We did ME-LgD and Bayesian analyses that included published plastid 16S rRNA data, by using the most

highly conserved regions of this gene (678 nucleotides). A multigene tree of the concatenated sequences of 16S rRNA + psaA + psbA (3,030 nucleotides) that included 3 fucoxanthinand a peridinin-containing dinof lagellate (Heterocapsa triquetra) showed significant support for the sister group relationship of dinof lagellates and haptophytes (ME-LgD = 98%, Ba-D = 0.98; see Fig. 5, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). The ME-LgD tree using psaA alone showed a similar topology to the phylogenies inferred from psbA alone (Fig. 2A) and from psaA + psbA (Fig. 1A). There was moderate bootstrap support in the psaA protein analysis (ME-Pr = 78%) and poor support in the minimum evolution analyses (ME-LgD = 54%) for the sister group relationship of dinof lagellates and haptophytes (results not shown).

We also tested for mutational saturation in the data sets used for phylogenetic analyses by correcting for multiple substitutions using the HKY85 model (DNA) and JTT model (protein).






Fig. 2. Phylogeny of red algal and red algal-derived plastids using psbA and rbcL sequences. (A) Minimum evolution psbA tree using LogDet distances. The bold letters indicate all dinoflagellates, whereas the underlined taxa contain fucoxanthin. A total of 957 nucleotides were considered. The LogDet bootstrap values (2,000 replications) are shown above the branches and ME protein Poisson bootstrap values are shown below the branches. The thick branches denote >95% posterior probability for groups to the right resulting from a Bayesian inference. A total of 500,000 MCMC generations were run and the posterior probabilities were determined from 4,141 probable trees. (B) Minimum evolution rbcL tree using LogDet distances. Only the subtree containing the fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates (underlined) is shown. The entire tree is shown as Fig. 4. A total of 810 nucleotides (only first and second positions) were considered. The bootstrap and Bayesian inference (4,593 probable trees) were done as above.

Corrected versus uncorrected distances were plotted for the psaA + psbA DNA data set using all three positions, and for the psaA + psbA protein data (Fig. 6A, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). This analysis showed minimal mutational saturation, although the DNA data showed slightly more saturation among distantly related taxa than did the protein data. We also analyzed first + second versus third codon positions for these genes (Fig. 6B). The first + second positions have a nearly linear relationship over much of the data set, whereas third positions show considerable saturation for highly divergent taxa suggesting that these data are less useful for resolving deep evolutionary relationships (Fig. 6B).

#### Discussion

Tertiary Haptophyte Plastid Replacement. Taken together, our data provide strong evidence for a common origin of peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellate plastids. The monophyly of dinoflagellate and haptophyte plastid sequences is recovered in all of our phylogenies (except for the rbcL ME-LgD tree using all 3 codon positions; see Results); psaA + psbA (Fig. 1A), psbA (Fig. 2A), and in the 16S rRNA + psaA + psbA analyses (Fig.

5). Other plastid replacements in the dinoflagellates are, however, clearly more recent events in the peridinin-containing taxa, such as the diatom replacement in P. foliaceum (refs. 17 and 18; see Figs. 1A and 2A) and the cryptophyte replacement in Dinophysis acuminata (J.D.H., L. Maranda, H.S.Y., and D.B., unpublished data). Our surprising result in this study contradicts the conventional view that only the fucoxanthin-containing taxa underwent a haptophyte plastid replacement (e.g., refs. 2, 11, and 15) and provides a paradigm for understanding dinoflagellate plastid evolution. Based on our results and the accepted secondary endosymbiotic origin of the haptophyte plastid (e.g., ref. 33), we postulate that the ancestral dinof lagellate acquired its plastid from a haptophyte through a tertiary plastid replacement. The SH test shows the haptophyte origin model to have significantly greater support than any of the alternative hypotheses (Fig. 1B, trees 2 and 3), such as a red algal origin (7), or a stramenopiles origin (34). However, we cannot discriminate between a monophyletic or paraphyletic origin of the fucoxanthin-containing dinoflagellates (Fig. 1B). And, as previously shown, our data also support the monophyly of peridinincontaining dinoflagellates (11, 20).

PNAS | September 3, 2002 | vol. 99 | no. 18 | 11727

One realistic concern about our results is that they may be an artifact of the relatively high divergence rate of dinoflagellate plastid genes rather than reflecting the true evolutionary history of the organelles. In this scenario, the dinoflagellate sequences may be "attracted" together because they share long branches rather than because of a monophyletic origin (31). Previous analyses (e.g., refs. 7 and 11) have clearly been hampered by this problem and the trees have often not provided an unambiguous placement of the dinoflagellate plastids (e.g., figure 5 in ref. 11). We have addressed this potentially confounding problem in the following ways. First, we have increased the taxon sampling for both red algae and their derived plastids to include all potential sister groups for the dinoflagellate genes and to break long branches that may cause homoplasious attraction. Second, we have used robust phylogenetic methods to ameliorate the effects of high divergence rates (i.e., y-corrected distances, Bayesian inference, use of protein sequences, use of only first and second or only the most highly conserved second codon positions) and a biased nucleotide content (LogDet transformation). Third, we have increased the phylogenetic signal by augmenting the length of the sequences being compared through multigene sampling. The fact that each gene, singly or in combination, supports the monophyly of fucoxanthin- and peridinin-containing dinoflagellate plastids suggests to us that this result is robust and not the outcome of an uniform bias in all of these genes.

The best way to correct for long-branch attraction is to sample slowly evolving genes (35), but this may be an improbable solution for dinoflagellates because all plastid genes that have been studied until now have elevated divergence rates (e.g., refs. 7, 11, and 20). However, our analyses of pairwise sequence distances indicate that the psaA and psbA coding regions do not show extensive mutational saturation and that even the third positions of these sequences encode phylogenetic signal (see Fig. 6). Use of nuclear-encoded photosynthetic genes would be another possible solution, but we predict that many of these genes would support a red algal ancestry of the dinoflagellate plastid because they trace their origin to the initial secondary endosymbiosis (36). Some plastid-targeted genes of haptophyte origin are also predicted to exist in the dinoflagellate nuclear genome as a result of gene transfer following the tertiary endosymbiosis. Analysis of plastid genes is, therefore, of fundamental importance to understanding plastid evolution in the dinoflagellates.

Given that our hypothesis of the monophyly of fucoxanthinand peridinin-containing dinoflagellate plastids is correct, then we envision two possible scenarios for their relative order of divergence: (i) after the tertiary haptophytic-plastid replacement, the fucoxanthin- and peridinin-containing dinoflagellates diverged as sister groups (Fig. 1A), or (ii) the peridinincontaining dinoflagellates emerged from within a clade of fucoxanthin-containing ancestors (Fig. 2A). In either case, we hypothesize that the fucoxanthin-containing plastid (13) should be regarded as the primitive condition (i.e., fucoxanthin is present in the plastid donor) with the presence of peridinin being a derived state. This idea is reinforced by the observation that peridinin-containing dinoflagllate plastids also share a suite of unique characters not found in fucoxanthin plastids (e.g., "Form II" rbcL gene, single-gene circles; see below), which strongly supports a single origin and monophyly of these taxa. However, our data do not allow us to determine the timing of the haptophyte replacement. It may have occurred at the base of the dinoflagellates or this tertiary plastid may have a much longer evolutionary history.

A fully resolved host tree of the dinoflagellates is critical to test our hypothesis of a basal haptophyte replacement. Presumably, the topology of this tree should mirror that of the plastid trees given a single organelle origin. Existing analyses using nuclear small subunit rRNA show the fucoxanthin-containing species as




Fig. 3. Putative model of plastid evolution mapped on a current "host" tree of the Alveolata (adapted from Saldarriaga et al.; ref. 10). Plastid-containing lineages are shown with the thick branches and plastid loss is denoted with a filled circle. The ancestral alveolate plastid is presumed to have been lost multiple independent times in the aplastidial taxa (i.e., Perkinsida, Noctiluca, and Amoebophrya). The broken lines denote uncertainty about the evolutionary interrelationships of the aplastidial taxa. The hatchmarks indicate the origin of novel characters in the peridinin-containing plastids. The haptophyte plastid replacement is believed to have occurred at least before the split of the fucoxanthin- and peridinin-containing dinoflagellates. The conventional view of the haptophyte plastid replacement occurring on the branch uniting fucoxanthin-containing plastids is shown.

an early diverging group, but with no bootstrap support for their monophyly or for their relationship to peridinin-containing taxa (10). Trees inferred with large subunit rRNA support monophyly of fucoxanthin-containing species but with poor support for their position relative to other dinoflagellates (12, 37).

Evolution of Dinoflagellate Plastids. A model of dinoflagellate plastid evolution is presented in Fig. 3. This scheme presumes that the common ancestor of the alveolates contained a plastid of red algal origin (irrespective of whether it was a secondary or a tertiary endosymbiont; refs. 10, 34, and 36). This plastid was apparently lost in the ciliates, whereas in the Apicomplexa, which are intracellular parasites, it was reduced to a nonphotosynthetic organelle of unknown function (38). The branching of several nonphotosynthetic lineages before the divergence of the photosynthetic dinof lagellates suggests that multiple plastid losses may have occurred (i.e., Perkinsida, Noctiluca, and Ameobophrya; ref. 10) in the evolution of the alveolates. Some time after the haptophyte replacement of the plastid, the dinoflagellates diverged into two groups. One group retained the ancestral haptophyte characteristics in its plastid (fucoxanthin, chlorophyll  $c_1 + c_2$ ), whereas the second group underwent several major changes including the evolution of peridinin (replacing fucoxanthin), loss of chlorophyll c<sub>1</sub>, the remarkable reduction of its plastid genome to single gene circles (7, 39), the development of cellulose armor, and the origin of a divergent nuclear encoded "Form II" rbcL gene (40, 41). It is possible that peridinin could have evolved from a modification of the ancestral fucoxanthin biosynthesis pathway because these are structurally related xanthophylls (for details, see refs. 13 and 42). Because haptophytes contain a 4-membrane bound plastid, we postulate that the outer rough endoplasmic reticulum membrane of this organelle was lost after the tertiary endosymbiosis resulting in the 3 smooth

membranes that surround both fucoxanthin- and peridinincontaining dinoflagellate plastids (14).

In summary, our results provide important insights into the complex evolutionary history of dinoflagellates. Previous analysis of nuclear-encoded cytosolic genes have suggested a sister group relationship to the stramenopiles, indicating the host affinity for this group (43, 44). Nuclear-encoded, photosynthetic genes suggest an origin of the plastid from a red alga (34, 36). These genes have presumably been inherited vertically from the common ancestor of stramenopiles and dinoflagellates (i.e., the shared red algal secondary endosymbiont, refs. 34 and 36; Fig. 3). Plastid-encoded genes of both peridinin- and fucoxanthincontaining dinoflagellates show a strong relationship to the haptophytes, indicating that a tertiary plastid replacement occurred before the split of these lineages. As stated above, our

- 1. Taylor, F. J. R. (1990) in Handbook of Protoctista: The Structure, Cultivation, Habitats and Life Histories of the Eukayotic Microorganisms and their Descendants Exclusive of Animals, Plants and Fungi, eds. Margulis, L., Corliss, J. O., Melkonian, M. & Chapman, D. J. (Jones and Bartlett, Boston), pp. 419-437. 2. Schnept, E. & Elbrächter, M. (1999) Grana 38, 81-97.
- 3. Pawlowski, J., Holzmann, M., Fahrni, J. F., Pochon, X. & Lee, J. J. (2001) J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 48, 368-373.
- 4. Graham, L. D. & Wilcox, L. W. (2000) Algae (Prentice-Hall, Englewood
- 5. Dodge, J. D. (1989) in The Chromophyte Algae: Problems and Perspective, eds. Green, J. C., Leadbeater, B. S. C. & Diver, W. L. (Clarendon, Oxford), Vol. 38, pp. 207-227.
- 6. Jeffrey, S. W. (1989) in The Chromophyte Algae: Problems and Perspective, eds. Green, J. C., Leadbeater, B. S. C. & Diver, W. L. (Clarendon, Oxford), Vol. 38, pp. 13-36.
- 7. Zhang, Z., Green, B. R. & Cavalier-Smith, T. (1999) Nature (London) 400, 155-159.
- 8. Cavalier-Smith, T. (2000) Trends Plant Sci. 5, 174-182.
- 9. Palmer, J. D. & Delwiche, C. F. (1996) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 7432-7435.
- 10. Saldarriaga, J. F., Taylor, F. J., Keeling, P. J. & Cavalier-Smith, T. (2001) J. Mol. Evol. 53, 204-213.
- 11. Zhang, Z., Green, B. R. & Cavalier-Smith, T. (2000) J. Mol. Evol. S1, 26-40. 12. Daugbjerg, N., Hansen, G., Larsen, J. & Moestrup, Ø. (2000) Phycologia 39, 302-317.
- 13. Bjørnland, T. & Liaaen-Jensen, S. (1989) in The Chromophyte Algae: Problems and Perspective, eds. Green, J. C., Leadbeater, B. S. C. & Diver, W. L. (Clarendon, Oxford), Vol. 38, pp. 37-60.
- 14. Hansen, G., Moestrup, Ø. & Roberts, K. R. (2000) Phycologia 39, 365-376.
- Tengs, T., Dahlberg, O. J., Shakhian-Tabrizi, K., Klaveness, D., Rudi, K., Delwiche, C. F. & Jakobsen, K. S. (2000) Mol. Biol. Evol. 17, 718-729.
- 16. Schnepf, E. & Elbrächter, M. (1988) Botanica Acta 101, 196-203.
- 17. Chesnick, J. M., Morden, C. W. & Schmieg, A. M. (1996) J. Phycol. 32, 850-857.
- 18. Chesnick, J. M., Kooistra, W. H., Wellbrock, U. & Medlin, L. K. (1997) J. Eukaryot, Microbiol. 44, 314-320.
- 19. Watanabe, M. M., Suda, S., Inouye, I., Sawaguchi, T. & Chihara, M. (1990) J. Phycal. 26, 741-751.

model predicts the presence of plastid-targeted, nuclearencoded genes (and possibly cytosolic genes) of both red algal and haptophyte origin in the dinoflagellate nucleus. A genomewide approach will most likely be necessary to understand fully the relative contributions of these successive endosymbioses to dinoflagellate evolution. Most remarkably, some of the peridinin-containing taxa have gone on to replace their plastid yet again [e.g., P. foliaceum (17, 18) and Dinophysis (16)]. The genomic contribution of these additional rounds of endosymbiosis to dinoflagellate evolution also remains to be determined.

We thank D. W. Freshwater and E. H. Bae for providing dried thallus of Palmaria and Chondrus. H.S.Y. was supported by the Post Doctoral Fellowship Program of the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grants DEB 01-07754 and MCB 01-10252 (to D.B.).

- 20. Takishita, K., Nakano, K. & Uchida, A. (1999) Phycol. Res. 47, 257-262.
- 21. Gilbert, D. G. (1995) SEQPUP: A Biological Sequence Editor and Analysis Program for Macintosh Computer (Indiana Univ., Bloomington).
- 22. Delwiche, C. F. & Palmer, J. D. (1996) Mol. Biol. Evol. 13, 873-882.
- 23. Lockhart, P. J., Steel, M. A., Hendy, H. D. & Penny, D. (1994) Mol. Biol. Evol.
- 24. Swofford, D. L. (2002) PAUP\*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (\*and Other Methods) (Sinauer, Sunderland, MA), Version 4.0b8.
- 25. Felsenstein, J. (1985) Evolution (Lawrence, Kans.) 39, 783-791.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K., Jakobsen, I. B. & Nei, M. (2001) MEGA2: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Software (Arizona State Univ., Tempe).
- Huelsenbeck, J. P. & Ronquist, F. (2001) Bioinformatics 17, 754-755.
- 28. Huelsenbeck, J. P., Ronquist, F., Nielsen, R. & Bollback, J. P. (2001) Science 294, 2310-2314.
- 29. Larget, B. & Simon, D. L. (1999) Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 750.759.
- 30. Shimodaira, H. & Hasegawa, M. (1999) Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 1114-1116.
- 31. Felsenstein, J. (1978) Syst. Zool. 27, 401-410.
- 32. Takishita, K., Nakano, K. & Uchida, A. (2000) Phycol. Res. 48, 85-89.
- 33. Müller, K. M., Oliveira, M. C., Sheath, R. G. & Bhattacharya, D. (2001) Am. J. Bot. 88, 1390-1400.
- 34. Durnford, D. G., Deane, J. A., Tan, S., McFadden, G. I., Gantt, E. & Green, B. R. (1999) J. Mol. Evol. 48, 59-68.
- 35. Philippe, H. (2000) Protist 151, 307-316.
- 36. Fast, N. M., Kissinger, J. C., Roos, D. S. & Keeling, P. J. (2001) Mol. Biol. Evol. 18, 418-426
- 37. Hansen, G., Daugbjerg, N. & Henriksen, P. (2000) J. Phycol. 36, 394-410.
- 38. McFadden, G. I. & Waller, R. F. (1997) BioEssays 19, 1033-1040. 39. Barbrook, A. C. & Howe, C. J. (2000) Mal. Gen. Genet. 263, 152-158.
- 40. Morse, D., Salois, P., Markovic, P. & Hastings, J. W. (1995) Science 268, 1622-1624.
- 41. Palmer, J. D. (1995) BioEssays 17, 1005-1008.
- 42. Lohr, M. & Wilhelm, C. (1999) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 8784-8789.
- 43. Van de Peer, Y. & De Wachter, R. (1997) J. Mol. Evol. 45, 619-630.
- 44. Van de Peer, Y., Baldauf, S. L., Doolittle, W. F. & Meyer, A. (2000) J. Mol. Evol. S1, 565-576.

# Exhibit B

citeTrack
instant notification of new
material in your field of interest

Sign up for PNAS Online eTocs

Get notified by email when new content goes on-line

Into for Authors | Editorial Board | About | Subscribe | Advertise | Contact | Site Map

**PNAS** 

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

Confine Submits flor

GO Continue Submits flori

Supporting information for Yoon et al. (2002) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 10.1073/pnas.172234799

This Article

Full Text

Services

- Alert me to new issues of the journal
- Request Copyright Permission

Table 1. Sample information and GenBank accession numbers for taxa included in phylogenetic analyses

		GenBank accession nos.		
Taxa	Source	psaA	psbA	<i>rbc</i> L
Rhodophyte				
Cyanidioschyzon merolae	DBV 201 JAVA	AY119693	AY119729	AY119765
	DBV 001 NAPS	AY119694	AY119730	AY119766
Cyanidium caldarium	RK1	AF022186	AF022186	AF022186
Galdieria sulphuraria	SAG 108.79	AY119695	AY119731	AY119767
	DBV 009 VTNE	AY119696	AY119732	AY119768
	DBV 063 AGCS	AY119697	AY119733	AY119769
Bangia atropurpurea	SAG 33.94	AY119698	AY119734	AY119770
Bangia fuscopurpurea	SAG 59.81	AY119699	AY119735	AY119771

PR21	AY119700	AY119736	AY119772
SAG 36.94	AY119701	AY119737	AF087116
SAG 39.94	AY119702	AY119738	AY119773
UTEX LB 1425	AY119703	AY119739	AF087118
SAG 40.94	AY119704	AY119740	AY119774
GenBank	U38804	U38804	U38804
SAG 1380-2	AY119705	AY119741	AY119775
SAG 115.79	AY119706	AY119742	AY119776
UTEX LB 2715	AY119707	AY119743	AY119777
SAG 116.79	AY119708	AY119744	AY119778
SAG 2.94	AY119709	AY119745	AY119779
Nova Scotia, Canada	AY119710	AY119746	U02984
Maine, USA	AY119711	U28165	U28421
SAG 51.94	AY119712	AY119747	AF029160
SAG 977.2a	Missing	AY119748	AY119780
SAG 980-1	AY119713	AY119749	AY119781
GenBank	AF041468	AF041468	AF041468
SAG 28.87	AY119714	AY119750	AY119782
CCAP 979/16	AY119715	AY119751	_
SAG 33.90	AY119716	AY119752	AB043631
	SAG 36.94  SAG 39.94  UTEX LB 1425  SAG 40.94  GenBank  SAG 1380-2  SAG 115.79  UTEX LB 2715  SAG 116.79  SAG 2.94  Nova Scotia, Canada  Maine, USA  SAG 51.94  SAG 977.2a  SAG 980-1  GenBank  SAG 28.87  CCAP 979/16	SAG 36.94 AY119701 SAG 39.94 AY119702 UTEX LB 1425 AY119703 SAG 40.94 AY119704 GenBank U38804 SAG 1380-2 AY119705 SAG 115.79 AY119706 UTEX LB 2715 AY119707 SAG 116.79 AY119708 SAG 2.94 AY119709 Nova Scotia, Canada AY119710 Canada AY119710 SAG 51.94 AY119712  SAG 977.2a Missing SAG 980-1 AY119713 GenBank AF041468 SAG 28.87 AY119715	SAG 36.94       AY119701       AY119737         SAG 39.94       AY119702       AY119738         UTEX LB 1425       AY119703       AY119739         SAG 40.94       AY119704       AY119740         GenBank       U38804       U38804         SAG 1380-2       AY119705       AY119741         SAG 115.79       AY119706       AY119742         UTEX LB 2715       AY119707       AY119743         SAG 116.79       AY119708       AY119744         SAG 2.94       AY119709       AY119745         Nova Scotia, Canada       AY119710       AY119746         Maine, USA       AY119711       U28165         SAG 51.94       AY119712       AY119747         SAG 977.2a       Missing       AY119748         SAG 980-1       AY119713       AY119749         GenBank       AF041468       AF041468         SAG 28.87       AY119714       AY119750         CCAP 979/16       AY119715       AY119751

	1		1 1	
Isochrysis sp.	SAG 927-2	AY119717	AY119753	AY119783
Pavlova gyrans	CCMP 607	AY119718	AY119754	AY119784
Pavlova lutherii	CCMP 1325	AY119719	AY119755	AY119785
Phaeocystis antarctica	CCAP 943/5	AY119720	AY119756	_
Pleurochrysis carterae	CCAP 961/1	AY119721	AY119757	D11140
Prymnesium parvum	UTEX LB 995	AY119722	AY119758	AB043698
Stramenopiles		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Heterosigma akashiwo	CCMP 1595	AY119723	AY119759	X61918
Odontella sinensis	GenBank	Z67753	Z67753	Z67753
Pylaiella littoralis	CCMP 1907	AY119724	AY119760	X55372
Skeletonema costatum	CCMP 1332	AY119725	AY119761	AF015569
Bumilleriopsis filiformis	SAG 809-2	_	X79223	_
Ectocarpus siliculosus	GenBank	_	X56695	_
Vaucheria litorea	GenBank	_	AF227740	_
Dinoflagellate			1	
Karenia brevis	CCMP 718	AY119726	AY119762	AY119786
Karlodinium micrum	CCMP 415	AY119727	AY119763	AY119787
Peridinium foliaceum	CCMP 1326	AY119728	AY119764	U31876
Karenia mikimotoi	G303ax-2	AB027235	AB027234	AB034635
Heterocapsa triquetra	CCMP 449	AF130031	AF130033	_
Amphidinium operculatum	CCAP 1102/6	AJ250264	AJ250262	_
Amphidinium	CS-21	AJ311631	AF206672	

carterae				
Alexandrium catenella	TN7	_	AB025590	_
Alexandrium tamarense	OF151	_	AB025589	_
Gonyaulax polyedra	GenBank	_	AB025588	
Heterocapsa niei	CCMP 447	_	AF206709	_
Heterocapsa pygmaea	CCMP 1490	_	AF206707	_
Prorocentrum micans	NIES-12	_	AB025585	_
Scrippsiella trochoidea	NEPCC D602	_	AF206710	_
Thoracosphaera heimii	NEPCC D670	_	AF206712	_
Chlorophyte				
Mesostigma viride	NIES-296	AF166114	AF166114	_
Nephroselmis olivacea	NIES 484	AF137379	AF137379	_
Glaucophyte				
Cyanophora paradoxa	UTEX LB555	U30821	U30821	_

#### This Article

Full Text

#### Services

- Alert me to new issues of the journal
- Request Copyright Permission

A single origin of the peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing plastids in dinoflagellates thr... Page 5 of 5

<u>Current Issue | Archives | Online Submission | Info for Authors | Editorial Board | About Subscribe | Advertise | Contact | Site Map</u>

Copyright © 2007 by the National Academy of Sciences

# Exhibit C

## Spectrophotometers

Sign up for PNAS Online eTocs

Get notified by email when new content goes on-line

Into for Authors | Editorial Board | About | Subscribe | Advertise | Contact | Site Map

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

GO advanced search >>

Supporting information for Yoon et al. (2002) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 10.1073/pnas.172234799

Table 2. Primers used to amplify the plastid genes

This Article

Full Text

Services

- Alert me to new issues of the journal
- Request Copyright Permission

Genes Name Primer Sequences (5'-3') Specificity **AACWACWACTTGGATTTGGAA** General psaA psaA130F GATAGTCAWACHAGTTCWTTAGA psaA180F General psaA 130F TTYAAAGGWGGNYTAAAYCC General psaA870F GGNGGWYTATGGTTAAGTGA General psaA940R CCCAATTAGTTCTATACATRT General psaA940R CTGTGDCCAATACCCCARTT General psaA970R GCYTCTARAATYTCTTTCA General psaA1600R **GCATGAATATGRTGWACCAT** General psaA1760R CCTCTWCCWGGWCCATCRCAWGG General psaA-3' CCTTGAGTDATRCTTAAAGCTC General Crypto230F **AGTGCACATTTTGGWCAATT** Cryptophyte Crypto930F **GCTGGTCAYATGTATCGTAC** Cryptophyte Crypto1190R CCACCRATCCACATATGATG Cryptophyte CTAATGGTTCAGTTGTACCC Palm754F Palmaria

	Palm1180F	ACACATCATATGTGGATTGG	Palmaria
	Pav940F	ATGTACCGTACTAAYTGGGG	Pavlova
	Flin950F	CGTACTAATTGGGGCATTGG	Flintiella
psbA	psbA-F	ATGACTGCTACTTTAGAAAGACG	General
	psbA500F	CTCTGATGGWATGCCWYTAGG	General
	psbA600R	CCAAATACACCAGCAACACC	General
	psbA-R2	TCATGCATWACTTCCATACCTA	General
	psbA-R1	GCTAAATCTARWGGGAAGTTGTG	General
rbcL	F-rbcL start*	TGTGTTGTCGACATGTCTAACTCTGTAGAAG	General
	rbcL090F	CCATATGCYAAAATGGGATATTGG	General
	F160 <sup>†</sup>	CCTCAACCAGGAGTAGATCC	General
	rbcL170F	CCWCAACCAGGTGTTGAY	General
	rbcL330F	TTTGCTTAYATYGCWTACGA	General
	F-577*	GTATATGAAGGTCTAAAAGGTGG	General
	rbcL640F	ATGATGAAAAYATTAATTCTCAACC	General
	rbcL770R	ATACATTTCTTCCATAGTTGC	General
	R-753*	GCTCTTTCATACATATCTTCC	Bangiaceae
	rbcL1240R	TGWCCRATDGTACCACCACC	General
	rbcL-R <sup>†</sup>	ACATTTGCTGTTGGAGTCTC	General
	R-rbcS start*	TGTGTTGCGGCCGCCCTTGTGTTAGTCTCAC	General

<sup>\*</sup>Freshwater, D. W. & Rueness, J. (1994) *Phycologia* 33, 187–194.

#### This Article

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Rintoul, T. L., Sheath, R. G. & Vis, M. L. (1999) *Phycologia* **38,** 517–527.

A single origin of the peridinin- and fucoxanthin-containing plastids in dinoflagellates thr... Page 3 of 3

Full Text

Services

- Alert me to new issues of the journal
- Request Copyright Permission

<u>Current Issue | Archives | Online Submission | Info for Authors | Editorial Board | About Subscribe | Advertise | Contact | Site Map</u>

Copyright © 2007 by the National Academy of Sciences

# Exhibit D

	EGCTEA	GGATIZANGACTTEGA	EGCTAG MG = 7	ogateeccear	c <i>ode</i> jattatata	ICTOGATOGATO:	
18 NO	PI RCC:	CONCOL	TO OT TAI	TATACACACAC GOCATACOTOTO			My NCBI [Sign In] [Register]
PubMed	SACAGA Nucleotide	ACGC ACGC	CACI ACTTA Genome	CTAXECAA TOO Structure	CACALGOLGOLG PMC	Taxonomy	OMIM Books
	reNucleotide	for	-	0.1.20.0.0		Go Clear	]
O dai dii	Limits		ew/Index	History	Clipboard	Details	,
Display Gen			Send to		·	all but gene, CDS an	nd mRNA features
			NAME OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS	,	_		
Range: from	begin	to end	∐ Rev	erse compleme	nted strand Fe	eatures: + Refre	<u>isn</u>
□1: <u>AY119</u>	9 <u>786</u> . Reports	Karenia brevis	ri[gi:2191	3674]			Links
Features S	Sequence						
LOCUS	AY119786		907 bp		linear	PLN 05-SEP-200	)2
DEFINITION		revis ribulo				e/oxygenase roplast product	-
ACCESSION	AY119786	me, parciar	cus, chioi	Oprase gen	101 010.	copiase produce	•
VERSION	AY119786.	1 GI:219136	74				
KEYWORDS							
SOURCE ORGANISM		ist Karenia b	revis				
ORGANISM			Dinophyce	eae; Gymnod	iniales; G	ymnodiniaceae;	
	Karenia.			· •			
REFERENCE		1 to 907)					
AUTHORS TITLE		, Hackett,J. origin of th				ontaining	
11126		in dinoflage					
JOURNAL		l. Acad. Sci	. U.S.A. 9	99 (18), 11	724-11729	(2002)	
PUBMED	12172008	1 +0 007)					
REFERENCE AUTHORS	-	s 1 to 907) ., Hackett,J.	D. and Bha	attacharva.	D.		
TITLE		ubmission		,			
JOURNAL		1 (10-JUN-200					
		or Comparativ , Iowa City,			ty of lowa	, 239 Biology	
FEATURES	Bulluing	, lowa city, Location/Qua		USA			
source	e	1907					
		/organism="K					
		<pre>/organelle=" /mol type="g</pre>					
		/strain="CCM		•			
		/db_xref="ta	xon: 156230	<u>5</u>			
gene		<1>907 /gene="rbcL"					
CDS		/gene="rbcL" <1>907					
<u> </u>		/gene="rbcL"					
		/codon_start					
		/transl_tabl		5-hisphosph	ate carbox	ylase/oxygenase	e II
		/protein id=			idee carbon	, rabe, on genal	-
		/db_xref="GI					
		•				KSLRLEDMRIPVAYI	
						VYEGLKGGLDFLKDI MEDMYERANFATEL(	
		IIVMIDLVIGYT	AIQSMAYWA	RNNDTMLHLHF	RAGNSTYSRQK	NHGMNFRVICKWMR	MA
					TRINLSLGLF	FGQEWASLRKCVPV	AS
OPTOTM		GGIHAGQMHYLI	'H AT'GDDAIT'	Jr.GGG.			
ORIGIN 1	tacgatetag	atctttttga g	gaaggatct	attqcaaatt	taacaqcct	c cataattqqt	
61	aatatttttg	gttttaaagc t	gtaaagtct	cttcggcttg	g aggatatga	g aatcccggtg	
121	gcttatctaa	aaacgtttca	iggt ccagct	actggtttag	tcgttgaac	g agaacgtcta	
181	gataagttcg	gtcgacctct t	LLgggagcg	acagicaaac	. cadaattag	y lolllooggt	

```
241 aaaaattatg gaagagttgt atacgaaggg ttaaaagggg gattagattt tcttaaagat 301 gatgaaaata ttaattcgca gccttttatg cggtatcgtg aacgtttcct atactctatg 361 gaaggtgtca atcatgctgc cgcaaaaacc ggtgaaatca aaggacatta tttaaatgtc 421 acggcagcga cgatggaaga catgtatgaa agagcgaatt tcgctacgga actaggttcc 481 ataattgtaa tgatagatct tgtaattggt tatacagcga tacaatcaat ggcttactgg 541 gctcgcaata atgatactat gcttcacttg catcgtgccg gtaattctac gtattctcga 601 caaaaaaatc atggtatgaa tttccgcgtc atctgtaaat ggatgcgaat ggctggtgtt 661 gatcatattc atgccggaac cgttgtgggt aaactagaag gagatccaaa aatgattaaa 721 gggttctatg aaacgttatt gggtctgtgt acacgaatta accttagtct cgggttattt 781 tttggacaag aatgggctag tttacgaaag tgtgtacctg tcgctagcgg aggaattcat 841 gcaggacaaa tgcactactt attacactat ttgggtgatg atgtaattct ccagtttggt 901 ggtggta
```

Disclaimer | Write to the Help Desk NCBI | NLM | NIH

Jun 19 2007 13;56:00

# Exhibit E

CCMP718 Karenia brevis (Davis) Hansen et Moestrup Class Dinophyceae					
Collection Site:  Caribbean Sea????  North America  Cother  Information:	Isolated by: Wilson Isolation Date: 1960's Identified by: Deposited by: Tangen,K Deposit Date: 1985-06-13 Axenic by: Axenic Date: Axenic (True/False): False				
Culture medium: L1, f/2-Si, f/20-Si, f/2, Temp. Range at CCMP: 18-22° C Cell length: (Observed 24-36µm Cell width: at CCMP) 5-7µm Toxic (True only): Yes	K Strain Synonyms: PTBR  Name Synonyms: Gymnodinium breve, Synonyms: Ptychodiscus breve				



# Exhibit F

### Research Report

#### **Design Strategies and Performance of Custom DNA Sequencing Primers**

BloTechniques 27:528-536 (September 1999)

G.A. Buck', N.W. Fox', C. Primer's ranged from 17-24 neared largely (NTRODUCTION) (N. Gunthorpe', K.M. Hager') conformed to the preferred parameters.

G.W. Naeves, R.T. Pons, Submitted primers were distributed across the very distributed across on the Nucleic Acids Research P.S. Adams' and J. Rush's C. M. Saeves and S. C. M. Saeves and J. Rush's C. M. Saeves and S. C. M. Saeves and J. Rush's C. M. Saeves and J. Saeves and J. Rush's C. M. Saeves and J. Rush's C. M. Saeves and J. M. Saeves and J. Saeves and J. Saeves and J. M. Saeves and J. Saeves and J.

'Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA; <sup>2</sup>University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA; <sup>3</sup>University of California, San Francisco, CA; <sup>4</sup>Yale University; New Haven, GT; 5St.-Canada; Trudeau Institute, Saranac Lake, NY and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA,

USANTIN IN THE COME
IN GRAND THE MARCH
ABSTRACT
ABSTRACT

Wiseinia Cousmonwealth Listin ViThis study surveyed strategies of sequencing primer selection and evaluated primer performance in automated DNA sequencing. We asked participants to relate their preferred primer design strategies to identify primer characteristics that are considered most important in sequencing primer design that are considered most important in sequencing primer design. The participants preferred primers of 18-24 nucleotides (ni), 39%-58% G+C, a melting temperature (T) 37.53-65% With 6711-22 next Comp hatrpin stems of less than 2-1 bp., homopolymeric runs of less than 2-1 bp. homopolymeric runs of less than 4-5 nt, primer dimers of less than 3-4 hp and secondary priming sites of less than 3-4 bp. We provided a 300-bp test sequence and asked provided a 300-bp test sequence and asked participants to submit sequences of 1-3 optimal, sequencing primers. Submitted growing sequencing primers. Submitted growing sequencing primers of participants of the primers 

disfavored. Surprisingly, approximately 45% of the primers were selected "manually", more than by any software package. Each of 69 submitted and 95 control primers, distributed at 3-bp intervals across the test sequence, were synthesized; purified and tested using a Model 377 Prisa DNA Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis TN JUSA Uniwith alchororhodamine die terpital, Memphis TN JUSA Uniwith alcording a Model 377 Priction DNA
introduction of Calgary, Calgary, AB,
nators). Approximately half of the control nators). Approximately half of the control primers were also tested using rhodamine dye terminator reagents ("old" rhodamine dye terminators). The results indicated that primer physico chemical characteristics thought to have a strong impact on sequence thought to have a strong impact on sequencing performance had surprisingly little effect. Thus, primers with high or low percent of the control of the contr

rified synthetic oligonucleotides as primers for automated DNA sequences analysis (14). The DNA Sequencing Research Committee of the ABRF has performed studies evaluating the ability of DNA core facilities to sequence difficult templates (2) and the performance of various sequencing strategies and chemistries (1). Herein, we have studied primer selection strategies used by DNA sequencing facilities and empirically examined the quality of the sequence data provided by primers selected by these facilities.

Despite a long-standing conviction within the DNA sequencing community has careful primer design is essential to ensure high quality data (cf. 11,14,17,18), there is a panuity of empirical studies supporting this view Avancty, of reasonable rules of diumb (13) suggesting optimal ranges; for primer length, percent C+C, melting) used. The old rhodamine reagents gave see an include it is a smilar average read length, but the number of errors and ambiguilles or its a smilar average read length. But the number of errors and ambiguilles or its a smilar physico-chemical characteristics were also more evident with the old rhodamine dyes. We conclude that that of the commonly applied primer design parameters in a single physical primer design parameters in a single physical phy using one of the new generation of sequence ing reagents such as the dichlororhodomine primer, length, percent G+C melting control voi 27, No. 3 (1999) control segue contr

mittee (NARC) of the Association of Biomolecular Resource Facilities (ABRF) evaluates the procedures and performance of research facilities that perform nucleic acid synthesis and sequencing in academic, industrial and other institutions (5,6). These studies provide a measure of the industry stateof-the-art, as well as the level of performance, that can be expected from such facilities. Previous projects have examined general operations of DNA core laboratories (15), the accuracy of automated DNA sequencing in these facilities (12), and the performance of unpurified synthetic bilgonucleotides as

The Nucleic Acids Research Com-

and some some of the court of the ruga - Willia grafic grafic a maji tersih Bili di Sama Personjing jiri kendici Mga grafipi di dina produkti seri Table I. Predicted Optimal and Observed Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Primers for Automated DNA Sequencing or PCR

and the recovery of the second color of the second second

The older to the profession of

Copla - Backett age of 22 years

Sequencing Primersa ): graphs PCR Primersa 7:15 Submitted Primersa

5	Range Optimum Range Range
÷	Length 18-24 nt 18-24 nt 18-24 nt
1	Tmi
7	Percent G+C of primer. 40%-61%. 30%-70% 40%-61% 30%-70% 40%-61% 30%-70% 40%-61% 53% 53% 50%-70% 40%-82% 53% 53% 50%-70% 40%-61% 53% 53% 50%-70% 40%-61% 53% 53% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50
-	Primer dimen 3=4 bp 0=7 bp 3=4 bp 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4
i a	Halrpin stemsk 2–3 bp 0–6 bp 2–3 bp 1.1 bp 0–6 bp
1	Homopolymer runs! 4–5 nt 3–10 nt 4–5 nt 3–10 n
ě	Secondary priming <sup>m</sup> 3–4 0–10 bp 3–4 bp 0–10 bp 49n 0–266n
	Perameter values preferred by participants for primers to be used in sequencing and account semistration and
	Parameter values preferred for primers to be used in PCR experiments:  PCR Timeral  Supplied Parameter values of the primers submitted by participants:
	dOptimum values for each parameter as selected by the participants. இராயாம் இளர்கள் Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean
,	The mean values for each parameter calculated from the submitted primers.
	The range of values for each parametericalculated from the submitted primers.
٠	ted by participants. The 3 clamp refers to the number of G of C bases at the 3 terminus of the primer.
	Largest number of contiguous complementary bases permitting dimerization of primer or the stability of strongest potential
	primer dimer (kcal) as measured by Oligo 5.0. 0-6 hp 2-9 hp 9-6 hp 1.1 hp 0-5, hp -
	Kuargest number of bases capable of forming a halrpinistem in the primer it: 3-10 mt 3.0 nt 2-5 nt
d	Number of bases in longest homolopolymeric run in the primer.

temperature (Tva) and composition have "Wa The submitted primers and a set of concosts and consume the bulk of effort is selection for sequencing projects. and time. Therefore, it is extremely im-tography a hairprestion in the pricest at

mNumber of bases permitted in most stable secondary priming site.

#Falaneder veloes prefored for primers to be geen in the PR experiments.

The priming efficiency as calculated by Oligo 5.0 for test sequence only (submitted primers).

AND BERTHARDSTONE OF LITTER PAPER AND WE phone forth a history by it induces only the

CHARLES AND A COLUMN AND A COLU

THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

3" W 中國 激

が発送された

and time. Therefore, it is extremely introducing a harmy stock the price of the pri

led to the development of primer design as strol primers espanning, the 300-bp test software packages that identify primers to spring separating the 300-op test software packages that identify primers to sequence at 3-bp intervals were syntheconforming to these criteria (4,16,18)3 resisted and used to sequence the test However, the success of these strategies template on Model 377 Paism's in selection of high-quality sequencing Automated DNA Sequence (P.B. Biopiniters, to our knowledge, has not been a systems, Poster City, CA, USA). Due to extensively examined. In many se-the results of this study, current primer quencing projects primer design and or design rules of diumb may be stream. synthesis represent the most significant media to facilitate more efficient primer messaquencing primers in the forward di-

ista multilicampses the indictorbally-Chandliberror, lovesquincing and possible as

and inglify standing the extension of the content o

necessation there are pro-- Find address medianing in the address.

study of DNA sequencing primer design. The distribution provided a 300-bp "test sequence" (Figure 1) that was seflected and previously shown to contain no segments that affect sequence ladder extension (data not shown). Participants were asked to use the prevailing technology in their laboratories to design and submit the sequences of up to three rection. Participants were requested to select the best primers irrespective of their positions on the test sequence. All responses were anonymously-screened and coded by Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) Health Sciences Computer Center personnel Identifying data were removed from each document before being forwarded to the Commit-tee for analysis, Several respondees submitted uninterpretable data. Where possible these respondees were identiwhile is fire ast a Biotechnique 5295

while he was division with the

antogy in resir integrationer to design. g if his dig distance privile destrict all of less are in the 145 list of the new control of the second of the distance of the readine. Participants press registrata to establishe were primora inscriptivo est the terimoragina occasaonnae. Air

# Research Report

the formal and a control through the control of the control and a control of the control of the

भीवनी संस्कृतको है का क्षानिस्तर के किए एक कर प्राप्त के प्राप्त के प्राप्त के किए के किए किए के किए के किए कि

fied by Computer Center personnel, and new information was requested. Every effort was made to maintain the integrity of the data and the anonymity of the participants.

#### Control and Submitted Primers

A panel of 95 primers were synthesized as controls. These 18 nucleotide (nt) prime spanned the 300-bp test sequence with their 5' termini located at 3-bp intervals (i.e., the first began at bp. l'of the test sequence, the second began at bp '4, etc.). All primers were analyzed with the Oligo Program 5.0: (NBI/Genovus, Plymouth, MN, USA) (16) and the number of bases, percent G+C, T<sub>m</sub> (nearest neighbor method described in Reference 9); internal structure, secondary priming siles, etc., were recorded.

recorded.
The 69 submitted and 95 control primers were synthesized on a Model 3948 DNA Synthesis and Purification System (PB Biosystems) at three different sites. Synthesis was performed at the 40 nmol scale using PB Biosystems reagents and the standard synthesis. Cleavage and purification cycles. An alliquot of each sample was analyzed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGB) and by matrix assisted laser description ionization time of flight mass. Specificanetry (MAIDI-TOF/MS) PAGB was performed using precast minigels (Novex, San Diego, CA, USA) following the protocol specified by the manufacturer. Mass analysis was performed on a 200-pmol, sample System (PB Biosystems) at three differperformed on a 200-pmol sample mixed with 10 mg/mL 3-hydroxypicol-inic acid matrix (in 50%, acetonitrile, 0.1% triflyoracetic acid) on a Voyager RP MALDI-TOF/MS instrument (Per-Septive Biosystems, Framingham, MA, USA). Criteria for passing quality control were: (() a single major band on the gel; (1) appropriate mobility on the gel y

compared to molecular weight standards; (iii) a synthesis yield >0.5 ODU (absorption of sample in 1 mL at 260 # nm with I cm pathlength set up on the 3948 Synthesizer); and (iv) a single peak of the expected mass by MALDI TOF/MS: Any oligonucleotides that failed to meet these criteria were resyn-

#### Test.Template and Preparation...

The plasmid template was preselected to contain a test sequence lacking. obstacles to sequence extension (data not shown) and purified by double banding in CsCl-ethidium bromide iso-

pycnic density gradients (10).
Compared to Description weigh, standards (11) a southests viold 50.5 ODU

DNA Sequence Analysis and at 260

Each of the purified and quality tested oligomucicotides, were used as a primer for DNA sequence, analysis using dRhodamine Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit with AmpliTaq® FS reagents (PB Biosystems) under standard reaction conditions as described by the manufacturer at 20 µL total vol containing 300 ng of template and 5 pinol of primer. Sequencing reactions were rim for 25 cycles of 10 s at 96°C, 5 s at 50°C and 4 min at 60°C in a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 DNA Thermal Cycler (PB Biosystems). Reactions were analyzed on Model 377. PRISM Automated DNA Sequencers us-ing 5% Long Rangar (FMC BioProd-ucts, Rockland, MB, USA) gels with Tris Borate EDTA buffer (TBE, pH. 8.3)! Approximately, 30% of the control primers were rerun, using the same dRhodamine dye terminator/Taq FS kif. to ensure reproducibility, and approximately 50% of the control primers were rerun using the old modamine dye terminator/Taq FS kit (PB Biosystems) The dRhodamine or dichloromodamine and spant of states and the control of the control

reagents are modifications of the original old rhodamine dyes that have narrower emission spectra, less spectral overlap and more even peak heights. than the earlier dyes (19). Bach 5%, polyacrylamide sequencing geli was electrophoresed for approximately 8 has and the data was directly exported for analysis using Sequencher (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Using this software, the raw data was trimmed to remove :5' and 3' ambiguous sequences, so that the first and last 25 nt. contained no ambiguities. Bach result: was aligned with the known sequence, and we recorded: (i) the number of nt. between the 3' end of the primer and the beginning of the trimmed data; (ii) the number of nt in each sequence read; the number of the near sequence ready and (III) the number of errors; including miscalls, insertions, deletions and antiquence is a sequence of the sequence ready through the end of the sequence ready polyacramater and sequence ready electrophoresed for apparamatery 8 h.

Serve Satisfies : Second their positions, is the feat snewered, All I improduct work about mousty sticeded and certainty Virginia Communicately Philippointy (VIII) Health Sciences; Computer Centur personnel Literativing

dat were required here and earlie on a con-control of the control of the Consent of the control of the control

भारत अधिक प्राप्ति स्थापित स्थापित स्थापित A language to the confidence to the land. to a respect for the first of the control of the second of เครื่อง เรียบได้เสรากรากกระบาร์ ระ

spices him here pripare in a comply's arti-

RESULTS Was drive the appendication of the RESULTS was drive the appendication of the Results of

in the study, submitting 69 primer sequences. Of the participants, approximately 77% provide synthesis services and approximately, 85% provide sequencing services, but only approximately approximately services, and approximately services. malely 50% provide primer design or walking services; and less than one third offer template preparation. Laboratories performing these services averaged annually approximately 3700 syn theses, 7000 sequence runs, 425 primers designs, 424 template purifications and less than 200 primer walking projects r Charges for services averaged \$1.17/nt for 40-50 mmol and \$2.19/nt for 150-200 mmol syntheses of a 25 nt unpurified primier and \$24/reaction for DNA sequence analysis (89 for gellonly). The average price for/a primer, walking sequencing project of \$22 kbp insert in a plasmid yeaton was approximately \$500 or \$0.25 pp. Charges for external users where available averaged approximately 17%-50% higher than charges for institutional users DNA synthesis and sequencing instrumentation was dominated by PB Biosystems 1.et .77% of synthesizers and 92% of sequencers, but Beokman (1999). Variation and mire valuation a (1999) -

tre. 40–50 tunck sud Million isong in 1992 all southers of client mas

The principal service and the service and the

SOL COOCHERED CECOCANAGE RECARMANCE CARRIGATE NOTIFICATION OF THE COCCENTRATE OF THE COCC 

Instruments, PerSeptive Biosystems and LI-COR were also represented. Only approximately 15% of the participants maintained robotic systems for template preparation or sequencing. Fi-

Maria Maria Maria Maria Maria Maria Maria

TOTAL TOTAL CONTRACTOR

Charles and the property of th

MARIE THE MODERNIA EVE

nally, when asked if commercial suppliers had an impact on services provided by the facilities, almost 80% of the laboratories responded affirmatively. Approximately 38% had dropped **美国和阿里尔马克** 

continues of the state of the s

The second of the second

Challed a less ballstrackresses




Figure 2: Primer characteristics vs. sequencing performance. The performance of sequencing primers corred according to various physico chemical characteristics, was examined. The number of errors over the full-length read of each primer, determined as described in the Materials and Methods, was rors over the full-length read of each primer, determined as accordance in the Materian and Materials, was plotted against the results of each primer sorted according to the relevant parameter. (A) Primer length ys. errors. The primers were sorted according to their lengths in according order, and secondarily, according in their positions on the tast sequence. The number of errors over the full-length read is plotted. Note that since all of the primers tested with the old rhodamine reagents were controls; and therefore 18 in long the data for old rhodamines are not included in this analysis. The X-axis distributes the primers from 16-24 nt in length; the y-axis indicates the number of errors over the full-length read of that primer. (B) Position of the primer on the test sequence. The primers were sorted according to the position of their (S) no in the test sequence (bp 1-283 on x-axis) and the number of errors in their full-length reads were plotted (y-axis). (C) T<sub>m</sub> of the primer. The primers were sorted in descending order by their. T<sub>m</sub> (y) -3.7°C on x-axis) and the number of errors in their full-length reads were plotted (y-axis). (D) The primer the primers were sorted in descending order (82%-17% on x-axis) by their percent GPC, and the number of errors in their full-length reads were plotted (y-axis). (B) Homopolymeric stretch, and secondarily seconding to first the number of an (B-2 on x-axis) in the lengts; homopolymeric stretch, and secondarily secondary to the number of an in the second longest homopolymeric stretch, and secondarily secondary in the number of an in the second longest homopolymeric stretch, and secondarily secondary priming efficiency of the primers. Each primer were sometic full-length read were plotted (y-axis). (F) Secondary priming efficiency of the primers. Each primer was seamined to secondary priming sites in the vector and across the test sequence using Oligo 5.0.8 The priming efficiency score assigned by Oligo 5.0 was determined. The primers were sorted first according to the strongest secondary priming site in the second (204 -22 on x-axis) and secondarily secondarily to strongest secondary priming site in the second (204 -22 on x-axis) and secondarily secondarily to strongest secondary priming the priming at in the second (204 -22 on x-axis) and secondarily secondarily to strongest secondary priming to the strongest secondary priming site in the second (204 -22 on x-axis) and secondarily secondarily to strongest secondary priming the condition of the strongest secondary priming at in the second secondary priming at the strongest secondary priming at in the second secondary priming at the strongest secondary priming secondary priming at the strongest secondary priming at the strongest secondary priming (B) Position of the primer on the test sequence. The primers were sorted according to the position of their

The terminate in the second of the second of

# is a sure of the acquired by the first of the construction of the

# Research Report

ne the first of the first that the second section with the reason of

Table 2 Average Primer Performances of Submitted and Control Primers Using aRhodamina, or Old Rhodamine Dye Terminator Sequencing Kitse

Large of any printing childrength tear . s. mil

- 「乳をおい」、「こうあた」のは、「おこうではは食むた」「しゃれらっぱ」とは「くりく」(40)ではないはは、「笑」(41)に「りょうだ	ol Primers Control Repetition daminesh daminesh	Control Primers old kit dyesb
5 int trimmeds: 3.3 (2.1–14) 4.6 (4.		4.5 (4, 0-16)
read length in ntd	78, 683–799) 798 (803, 733–867)	752 (764, 654–803)
ambiguities (N'a)e 2.2 (1, 0-16) 1.6 (1,	0-32) 4.4 (4, 1-17)	8.7 (7, 0–58)
errors per first 700 nt - 2.9 (2, 0-14) 2.9 (2,	0-46) 5.4 (5.3-16)	16.5 (12, 3-92)
Poldingdamine kits refer to the original ABI Prism Dye Termin ABI Prusm dRhodamine Terminator Cycle Seguencing Read	nator Cycle Sequencing Kits, dRhodamin Reaction Kits containing the dichlorom	e kits refer to the new
tors.		770000000000000000000000000000000000000

bThe data is shown as the average with the (median, range) in parentheses.

The number of nt between the 3' end of the primer and the beginning of the trimmed sequence.

rethe length of réadin int after trimming using Séguencher (see Materials and Methods) bedaning Dye Terriduator Sequenting Sites

The number of ambiguous calls (N's) in the full-length sequence read.
The number of errors in the first 700 nt of the sequence Control of the sequence Control of the sequence Control Republican Control Primare dRhodaminaso old idt dygsb

prices and approximately 25% indicat - "ical characteristics of the ideal sequence" the existence of potential secondary quests in response to commercial suppurchased or planned to purchase large scale synthesis instruments to match

Software or Manual Selection of 10 with thous of the primer) and very little inter-

surprised that, in spite of the availability of excellent commercial primer design software programs, over 50% (17/31). For the reporting laboratories c. preferred, to select primers manually of the 25 laboratories reported that the laboratories reported the labora lection and design of PCR primers, again over 50% (14/25) tended not to use software Of those laboratories that fely on software for primer selection, over-60% rely on various versions of the Oligo software for sequence primer, designificand over 70% use the Oligo software for PCR primer designification in the sequence of the se

Idealized Primer Physico-Chemical Characteristics CR primate. Weather:

Participants were asked to provide their preferences for the physico-chem.

TANK TOUR VICTORS OF

ed a decreased number of synthesis room sing, and PCR, primers, This analysis, (a priming sites. provided few surprises (Table 1), in that pliers: About (23% of the laboratories) -16the idealized primer characteristics of (4, most respondents closely parallel those of some common rules of thumb for bp 3' GC clamps (G or C nt at 3' termi-

Primers indeer of it between the 3' and of tinal structure; homopolymeric sequence ed secross the 300-bp test sequence, al-Thirty one laboratorics described in similar set of characteristics was report-G+C were slightly greater than for sequencing primers. However, it was un-13 expected that some participants accept primers of up to 40. bases, with Tm's as high as 95°C, for both sequencing and PCR primers. When asked to rate the relative importance of each characteris

dimere was rated almost as important as

amics school arms, t

752 (764, 684-803)

#### Characteristics of the Submitted Primer Sequences 6 5 /12 3192

the new competition. However, only primer design. Thus, sequencing priapproximately (5%) had discontinued for mers should be: 18-24 nt in length; Thing I submitted 69 primer sequences ranging synthesis sorvices. Admire length from 16-24 nt with an average of approximately 20 nt. The primers were distributed fairly evenly uline length strong different attention in the control of the cont purposefully excluded; e.g., any primer their practices for the design of section and 30 of the quencing and PCR primers. We were usual acceptable length Tm and percent the design of bear, can 8-nt poly(A) segment (not shown). Not surprisingly, most of the submitted primers conformed closely to the ideal Bized physico-chemical characteristics for sequencing primers (Table 1). Although some deviated from the idealrelative importance of each characteris. (5) ized characteristics, all conformed to tic, participants rated the existence of the more broad ranges defined by participants rated the existence of the more broad ranges defined by participants rated by participants selections and string rated by participants. Thus, the participants selections are consistent with T pressure of a 3 GC claim; the potential to comprime dimers, primer length, the presence of internal structure or the presence of internal structure or the presence of internal structure or the presence of decreasing importance of selections ally without the aid of computer soft derivative in the presence of decreasing importance of selections. (9) or 48°C or percent of CR primers, except that the potential for formation of primer down fact permit a significant variation of primer was rated almost as important as ized characteristics, all conformed to some primers exhibited relatively high

(1884) institution of the first sense of the he their provides white godies of his incirefine miner left at any leget styles signactive Leget for the signature

secondary priming efficiency numbers of errors and ambiguities (average 16.5) (a score calculated by Oligo 5.0, vs. 2.9) in the data generated with old whereby a priming efficiency of 160 modamine dye terminators than in the r can iprime in sequencing reactions) even within the test sequence (Table 1).

المناه المناف المنافظة المنافظة المنافظة

#### Sequencing Performance of the Primers

And the policy of the second

The results of the sequence analysis: as described in the Materials and Methods were unexpected (Table 2); i.e., we it, expected a wide range in the performance of the submitted and control primers. Instead, all of the submitted primers functioned extremely well, the poorest performer still yielding <2% crois over a >700-nt sequence. The average number of errors and ambiguities over the 700-nt window was 2:9, and the average read length was 700 nt. Similarly, almost all of the control primers functioned very well, with an average of 2.9 errors or ambiguities average of 2.9 errors or amorguites over the 700-ht window and an average read length of 772 bases. Only one primer (No. 8) failed to give any reasonable, sequence, and even this oligonizate of the performed adequately on the repeat i.e., 13 errors and ambiguities over a 700-ht read. The average guities over a 700-ht read. The average read lengths of the sequences from the guities over a 700-nt read. The average read lengths of the sequences from the submitted and control primers were 760 and 772, with ranges of 674-802 and 683-799 bases, respectively. The average number of nucleotides trimmed from the 5 ends of these sequences was 3.3 and 4.6 nt, respectively. Many, sequences, began on the tirrst nucleotide after the primers, but up to 14 or 19 nt were trimmed from the 5 ends of the submitted and control primers As expected from previous studies (1,2,12,14,18), errors and ambiguities were clustered in the first and last 100-bp. windows of the sequences (data not shown). Approximately 30% of the control primers were used increase re-

snown). Approximately 30% of the control primers were used in repeat reserving and data not shown); The control primers used in second reactions using the old rhodamine die terminator reagents gave slightly poorer overall results. The read lengths of these reactions did not differ greatly, from the read lengths of the dandamino reactions (average 752 in greatly from the read lengths of the dRhodamine reactions (average 752 nt vs. 772 bases, Table 2). However, there were more N. 3 (average 8.7 vs. 1.9) and vol. 17 No. 3 (1999)

dRhodamine-generated data (Table 2). 

This was a second of the secon

The many of the ma

ราชาชาติโดยที่ ฮี่มีโดยปี คอกจำหนามที่ 4.

#### Poor Primers Yield Good . Sequence Results ...

The uniform high-quality sequence data from the control primers using the dRhodamine reagents was not expected. Only primer No. 8 failed catastrophically (i.e., gave no valid sequence data), and primer No. 9 yielded >5% errors over a 700-nt window. However, both of these primers provided better data when used in a repeat sequencing casta when used in a repeat sequencing reaction (Table 3). Moreover, there was no obvious relationship between the number of errors in a sequence and the length, position, I'm, percent G-C, secondary priming potential, length of homopolymeric stretches (Figure 2, panels A-F) or the potential for forming primer dimers (data not shown) of the primers. On the contrary, many primers exhibiting suboptimal physico-chemical characteristics yielded high-quality sequence. For example, although the one primer, No. 8, that fulled casual trophically has an 8-n poly(dA) stretch near its 3 terminus, other primers, e.g., Nos. 7 and 10, contain a similar sequence, but still generated very respectable data (Table 3). Primer No. 9 speciatic data (1 able 3). Primer No. 95 which also contains the same poly(dA) stretch, also yielded relatively poor data (46 errors in 700, bases), although the error rate was 3% over the first 500 int window (data not shown). Examination of the sequences of these primers suggests that homopolymeric stretches of gests that homopolymeric stretches of dA's may have a negative impact on sequence quality, but that these stretches must be quite long; and near, to the 3 terminus to exert their effects. Other-primers with, extensive, homolopolymeric stretches yielded good results. (Table 3) Finally, when the length of the longest animopolymeric stretch in each of the primers was picted against the number of errors in the sequence reaction. (Figure 2B), no general, trendwas observed when reactions were performed with dRhodamine reagents. Towas observed when reaction were performed with dRhodamine reagents. To gether, these observations suggest that under optimal conditions using dRhodamine reagents, long homopolyments stretches to not necessarily negatively.

number of errors over a 700-nt sequencing window was 2.9, 3.1 and 3.3 for all submitted primers, for submitted primers selected using software and for the control primers, respectively. Moreover, no clear trends were observed when comparing the physico-chemical characteristics of the software-designed primers to the manually designed or the control primers (data not shown).

का रिक्षा के अपने सम्बद्धित है। वर्त १४४ ईक्टर के अपने हैं कि देने

#### Old Rhodamines vs. dRhodamines

The old rhodamine dyo reactions were more strongly impacted by primer physico-chemical characteristics than the dRhodamine dye reactions. As described above, the old rhodamine dye reactions were consistently poorer than, the dRhodamine reactions (Table 2)
Moreover the fornier reactions seemed Moreover the former reactions secured more sensitive to primer characteristics. Thus, reactions with higher error rates tended to be those with lower, T<sub>m</sub>, lower percent G+C and longer homopolymer is stretches (Figure 2, panels C-B). As with the 'Rhiodamines, the 'old rhodamine reactions exhibited no discernable-trend-in-relation to secondary priming potential (Figure 2F), or primer secondary structure (data not shown)

#### very moles are negligible parters by princes DISCUSSION of character stice then odranino dye reach us. As de-

In this study we examined the perceptions and strategies of participants ceptions and strategies on participant core facility personnel for the design and selection of DNA sequencing and PCR primers. The results may reveal some unexpected misconceptions in the requirements for good sequencing primer design. Thus, most laboratories espouse primer design dogma suggesting that a sequencing primer should be 18-24 mt in length with a Tm = 52-66 C 40%-60% G+C 12-2 bp 3 GC clamps and little internal structure, horsonally metric sequence or holdental. homopolymeric, sequence or potential. for secondary, priming. The percent G+C and secondary priming potential were rated as the most important characteristics, but T<sub>m</sub>, GC clamps, primer secondary and tertiary structure, length and the presence of flomopolymeric runs were also thought to be relevant. Moreover, mevious studies have shown that secondary structure of the template in the number largest regions can effect vol. 27, No. 3 (1999). were rated as the most important char-

the unitarity reporting. Her is excited

priming efficiency (7,8).

स्तिकार्यात्रीय क्षेत्रका क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्र विकास

With regard to primer design, we were surprised to find that the majority of investigators design most of their sequencing primers manually.' Thus, in spite of the perceived importance of primer characteristics and the wide-spread availability of primer design software, approximately 55% of the participants in this study elected to design primers manually. We believe that this observation is the result of a combination of the facts that primer design, software is not yet sufficiently "oserfriendly" and that the primer physicochemical characteristics are not as important as commonly believed. It would seem that the authors of primer design software could take note of this result and streamline and enhance their products so that they are more convernent beneficial and practical to use. Clearly, however, there are many circumstances, (e.g., templates with GC-rich regions or with unusual sequences or structures. genomic sequencing or the sequencing of very large templates with many re-pear regions) in which it would be advisable to use appropriate primer de-

sign software to automate and expedite primer design and synthesis.

The results of the empirical sequencing analysis were surprising in that nearly all of the primers yielded dats of extremely high quality. Excellent data was obtained in spite of widely varying primer Im and percent G-C, the presence of nearly 50% of they primer as homopolymer and the presence. ence of very strong secondary priming sites. characteristics considered by sites, characteristics, considered by most respondents to be the critical for sequencing primers. This study confirms earlier observations (3) that there is a broad follerance in these and other characteristics of sequencing primers. Other factors, such as template purity of quality or technical expertise, possibly play greater roles, in this study, the plasmid template was selected for absence of sequence extension obtails and purified by double banding in Cecl-ethidium bromide isopyenic density gradients. Therefore, this templates was extremely pure and optimal for sequencing. The primers were similarly highly purified the reactions were performed in a single high-throughput highly purified The reactions were performed in a single high-throughput sequencing facility funder tightly.

priori explainte manifeste de Best Available Copy alor squiera a fileste de mode de minori mode de servicio de la servicio de mode de An Explanation of the Land of the Control of the Co क्तातील र्भियंत्राती राज्य प्रतिस्थिता

र कर्त्र प्रमुखे पर नेपाक्षिका । प्रस्तित । जिल्हा क्रिकेट व id the Boltzertden wind mulesedicke A d of the policies of the M. Monorles of the floor manager of district bery of his his 

PARTICULAR PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF increase the atom, and factors

Windys over a part the service त अनुसिद्ध हान्यान अर्था । इन्यान विदेशकान् १० ५ ित हैं है । विकास के उसे देन के लिसिट केंद्र narionà (austination del 143 di A constant some services.

writing after to their tole acceptance.

TO CHARLOS IN ALTERNATIONAL SUPPLY

机自动工作 网络斯马克斯克斯克 The Control of the Control للمائة مهج للجرية بالمارسان والمناص لعال on a principal of the public but he and the find announce of the territories of the contract of th alika dan dan kecamatan dan dan dari

Albert of the specification my, in our trible

BioTechniques 535

# Exhibit G

Page 1

51 F.3d 1552 51 F.3d 1552, 63 USLW 2624, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 1210 (Cite as: 51 F.3d 1552)

In re Deuel C.A.Fed.,1995.

United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit. In re Thomas F. DEUEL, Yue-Sheng Li, Ned R. Siegel and Peter G. Milner. No. 94-1202.

March 28, 1995.

Inventors applied for patent for deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and complementary DNA (cDNA) molecules encoding proteins that stimulated cell division. After patent examiner rejected claims as unpatentable on grounds of obviousness and the Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences affirmed, inventors The Court of Appeals, Lourie, Circuit appealed. Judge, held that: (I) combination of prior art reference teaching method of gene cloning, together with reference disclosing partial amino acid sequence for a protein that stimulated cell division, did not render claims prima facie obvious; (2) conceived method of preparing some unidentified DNA does not define it with precision necessary to render it obvious over protein it encodes; and (3) patent claims generically encompassing all DNA sequences encoding human and bovine proteins to stimulate cell division were not invalid as obvious.

Reversed.
West Headnotes
[1] Patents 291 314(5)

291 Patents
291X11 Infringement
291XII(C) Suits in Equity
291k314 Hearing
291k314(5) k. Questions of Law or Fact.
Most Cited Cases

Patents 291 324.55(1)

291 Patents
291XII Infringement
291XII(C) Suits in Equity
291k324 Appeal
291k324.55 Questions of Fact, Verdicts, and Findings
291k324.55(1) k. In General. Most

Cited Cases

Obviousness is question of law, which Court of Appeals reviews de novo, though factual findings underlying obviousness determination are reviewed for clear error. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

[2] Patents 291 32

291 Patents

291II Patentability
291II(A) Invention; Obviousness
291k31 Evidence of Invention

291k32 k. Presumptions and Burden of Proof. Most Cited Cases

Patent examiner bears burden of establishing prima facie case of obviousness; only if this burden is met does burden of coming forward with rebuttal argument or evidence shift to applicant. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

[3] Patents 291 \$\infty\$ 36(1)

291 Patents

29111 Patentability
29111(A) Invention; Obviousness
291k36 Weight and Sufficiency
291k36(1) k. In General. Most Cited

Cases

Patents 291 324.55(4)

291 Patents

291X11 Infringement
291X11(C) Suits in Equity
291k324 Appeal
291k324.55 Questions of Fact, Verdicts, and Findings

291k324.55(3) Issues of Validity
291k324.55(4) k. Novelty,

Invention, Anticipation, and Obviousness. <u>Most Cited Cases</u>

When references cited by patent examiner fail to establish prima facie case of obviousness, rejection on ground of obviousness is improper and will be overturned. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

[4] Patents 291 \$\infty\$16.3

291 Patents291II Patentability291II(A) Invention; Obviousness


(Cite as: 51 F.3d 1552)

relationship between codons and amino acids. The reverse is not true, however, due to the degeneracy of the code. Many other DNAs may code for a particular protein. The functional relationships

Collections ("libraries") of DNA and cDNA molecules derived from various species may be constructed in the laboratory or obtained from commercial sources. Complementary DNA libraries contain a mixture of cDNA clones reversetranscribed from the mRNAs found in a specific tissue source. Complementary DNA libraries are tissue-specific because proteins and corresponding mRNAs are only made ("expressed") in specific tissues, depending upon the protein. Genomic DNA ("gDNA") libraries, by contrast, theoretically contain all of a species' chromosomal DNA. The molecules present in cDNA and DNA libraries may be of unknown function and chemical structure, and \*1555 the proteins which they encode may be unknown. However, one may attempt to retrieve molecules of interest from cDNA or gDNA libraries by screening such libraries with a gene probe, which is a synthetic radiolabelled nucleic acid sequence designed to bond ("hybridize") with a target complementary base sequence. Such "gene cloning" techniques thus exploit the fact that the bases in DNA always hybridize in complementary pairs: adenine bonds with thymine and guanine bonds with cytosine. A gene probe for potentially isolating DNA or cDNA encoding a protein may be designed once the protein's amino acid sequence, or a portion thereof, is known.

As disclosed in Deuel's patent application, Deuel isolated and purified HBGF from bovine uterine tissue, found that it exhibited mitogenic activity, and determined the first 25 amino acids of the protein's N-terminal sequence. FN2 Deuel then isolated a cDNA molecule encoding bovine uterine HBGF by screening a bovine uterine cDNA library with an oligonucleotide probe designed using experimentally determined N-terminal sequence of the HBGF. Deuel purified and sequenced the cDNA molecule, which was found to consist of a sequence of 1196 nucleotide base pairs. From the cDNA's

between DNA, mRNA, cDNA, and a protein may conveniently be expressed as follows:



#### other DNAs

nucleotide sequence, Deuel then predicted the complete amino acid sequence of bovine uterine HBGF disclosed in Deuel's application.

<u>FN2.</u> Deuel determined that the N-terminal sequence of bovine uterus HBGF is Gly-Lys-Lys-Glu-Lys-Pro-Glu-Lys-Lys-Val-Lys-Lys-Ser-Asp-Cys-Gly-Glu-Trp-Gln-Trp-Ser-Val-Cys-Val-Pro.

Deuel also isolated a cDNA molecule encoding human placental HBGF by screening a human placental cDNA library using the isolated bovine uterine cDNA clone as a probe. Deuel purified and sequenced the human placental cDNA clone, which was found to consist of a sequence of 961 nucleotide From the nucleotide sequence of the base pairs. cDNA molecule encoding human placental HBGF, Deuel predicted the complete amino acid sequence of human placental HBGF disclosed in Deuel's The predicted human placental and application. bovine uterine HBGFs each have 168 amino acids and calculated molecular weights of 18.9 kD. Of the 168 amino acids present in the two HBGFs discovered by Deuel, 163 are identical. application does not describe the chemical structure of, or state how to isolate and purify, any DNA or cDNA molecule except the disclosed human placental and bovine uterine cDNAs, which are the subject of claims 5 and 7.

Claims 4-7 on appeal are all independent claims and read, in relevant part, as follows:

- 4. A purified and isolated DNA sequence consisting of a sequence encoding human heparin binding growth factor of 168 amino acids having the following amino acid sequence: Met Gln Ala ... [remainder of 168 amino acid sequence].
- 5. The purified and isolated cDNA of human heparin-binding growth factor having the following nucleotide sequence: GTCAAAGGCA ... [remainder of 961 nucleotide sequence].
- 6. A purified and isolated DNA sequence consisting of a sequence encoding bovine heparin binding

51 F.3d 1552

51 F.3d 1552, 63 USLW 2624, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 12I0

(Cite as: 51 F.3d 1552)

291II Patentability

291II(A) Invention; Obviousness 291k26 Combination

291k26(1) k. In General. Most Cited

#### Cases

Conceived method of preparing some unidentified deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) does not define it with precision necessary to render it obvious over protein it encodes. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

#### [11] Patents 291 26(1)

291 Patents

291II Patentability

29111(A) Invention; Obviousness 291k26 Combination

291k26(1) k. In General. Most Cited

#### Cases

Patent claims generically encompassing all deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequences encoding human and bovine protein to stimulate cell division were not invalid as obvious, where prior art disclosed only partial amino acid sequence for the protein. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

G. Harley Blosser, Senniger, Powers, Leavitt & Roedel, of St. Louis, MO, argued for appellants. With him on the brief was <u>Donald G. Leavitt</u>.

<u>Donald S. Chisum</u>, Morrison & Foerster, Seattle, WA, argued for amicus curiae, The Biotechnology Industry Ass'n and The Bay Area Bioscience Center. With him on the brief were <u>Debra A. Shetka</u>, Morrison & Forester, Palo Alto, CA and <u>Robert P. Blackburn</u>, Emeryville, CA.

<u>Teddy S. Gron</u>, Acting Associate Sol., Arlington, VA, argued for appellee. With him on the brief was Albin F. Drost, Acting Sol. <u>Nancy J. Linck</u>, Office of the Sol., Arlington, VA, represented appellee.

Before <u>ARCHER</u>, Chief Judge, NIES and <u>LOURIE</u>, Circuit Judges.

LOURIE, Circuit Judge.

Thomas F. Deuel, Yue-Sheng Li, Ned R. Siegel, and Peter G. Milner (collectively "Deuel") appeal from the November 30, 1993 decision of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences affirming the examiner's final rejection of claims 4-7 of application Serial No. 07/542,232, entitled "Heparin-Binding \*1554 Growth Factor," as unpatentable on the ground of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (1988). Ex parte Deuel, 33 USPQ2d 1445 (Bd.Pat.App.Int.1993). Because the Board erred in concluding that Deuel's claims 5 and 7 directed to specific cDNA molecules would have

been obvious in light of the applied references, and no other basis exists in the record to support the rejection with respect to claims 4 and 6 generically covering all possible DNA molecules coding for the disclosed proteins, we reverse.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The claimed invention relates to isolated and purified DNA and cDNA molecules encoding heparin-binding growth factors ("HBGFs"). FN1 HBGFs are proteins that stimulate mitogenic activity (cell division) and thus facilitate the repair or replacement of damaged or diseased tissue. DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) is a generic term which encompasses an enormous number of complex macromolecules made up of DNAs consist of four different nucleotide units. nucleotides containing the nitrogenous bases adenine, guanine, cytosine, and thymine. A sequential grouping of three such nucleotides (a "codon") codes for one amino acid. A DNA's sequence of codons thus determines the sequence of amino acids assembled during protein synthesis. Since there are 64 possible codons, but only 20 natural amino acids, most amino acids are coded for by more than one codon. This is referred to as the "redundancy" or "degeneracy" of the genetic code.

FN1. For a more extensive discussion of recombinant DNA technology, see <u>In re O'Farrell</u>, 853 F.2d 894, 895-99, 7 USPQ2d 1673, 1674-77 (Fed.Cir.1988); <u>Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co.</u>, 927 F.2d 1200, 18 USPQ2d 1016 (Fed.Cir.), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 856, 112 S.Ct. 169, 116 L.Ed.2d 132 (1991).

DNA functions as a blueprint of an organism's genetic information. It is the major component of genes, which are located on chromosomes in the cell nucleus. Only a small part of chromosomal DNA encodes functional proteins.

Messenger ribonucleic acid ("mRNA") is a similar molecule that is made or transcribed from DNA as part of the process of protein synthesis. Complementary DNA ("cDNA") is a complementary copy ("clone") of mRNA, made in the laboratory by reverse transcription of mRNA. Like mRNA, cDNA contains only the protein-encoding regions of DNA. Thus, once a cDNA's nucleotide sequence is known, the amino acid sequence of the protein for which it codes may be predicted using the genetic code

## Research Report

A PARTY OF	rimer# Sequ	ence de la constante de la con	or <b>u</b> n <b>(Tr</b> inger)	The me	Er	rors		
Line in the	the state of the s	. Historian .		dRho	limings		ole Ri	odamin
Marie (S. 1904) Marie (S. 1904)	appyrate ryddigio ym prysio Arlegh y byddiai i Tae Aleg	Notice of the second	per 700 at	beat land	per 700 n	dotal read	per 200 p	jobni resd
molo (America	eretores in a value	r January 1						
<b>跨级家</b> 員	TORCHOCOCTACHONINA	ALCO.	24	6/784		5/303	1424	50/685
272	CHOROGET CTCALANASA.	Chi Tarte		9/756	5	16/930	40	TI/HE
	COCTOTON ANALAS	<b>HAVID</b>	PAITAN	المنشا		17/819		£2/286
Dam Pour	morning.	THE STATE OF		45/693	* 1	19/842	<b>57</b> . n	K3/714
#10 G	* TOREAGE SALES CATOR	No. of the last of		2/785	3	11/790	12	12/711
1 4 4 6 THE	ATENTATION CATOCOLO	(201x)		7/721	; <b>=0</b>	. 64	nd	100
477	AMPRICANA TOCOTOCOC	40744		3/773	. ima	###/	rict .	*****
Ition tempera	mind and mental Charle	derinate the Store Parish	er dinatel	i Gond'Ec	ameing la	ទំពក្សត់	P COST OF	
<b>\$2002</b>	COSCIONATE STOCKEN	00 (SEC. 83		\$,7773	TATE .	ad.	and:	'Ye's
Trace Land Company	7000003070000033CAX	13 C 38	Wat I de la Company	3/924	The co	17/786	10	12/356
\$50	*COCIC CCCA PICCOCO	The second secon		£2/171	# T	21/167	15	15/203
-	THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PE	-		¥/264	arcia di sami	\$7735	13	13/110
# <b>72</b>	OPERCHANISM TEAT	3975 29		and	<b>300</b>	102	16	82/240
condeny print	ar dites	Secret a		Services	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			150864
483	economico cocococococo	301/816		1702		19/430	14	40/700
Cara Sance	THE THE PERSON NAMED IN	ann anna meir Abia (milite) i Neagail Easan a mainn a a Al-C	Symmetric Action	46/65	76	507025	33	
486	SOFOCOCO LA MARIO			1/276		24/100	130	101914
and a second		SEASE.		and any second	·:			y within
# <b>16</b>	COCTAMINACIOCOCATACACA	246/451		31,2784	<b>M</b>		od.	.445
A distant	- Francisco	Serman and State of the gradual of the State of the State of the State of S	- Leadingweig	sacrements	Personal state of	Selection and a	Laninina.	विकास सम्बद्धाः स्टब्स् इ.स.च्या १९४४ हो। इ.स.च्या १९४४ हो।
*IOA	COCCOCCTC COCCAALGO	0C 1007613	Par Market	6/173	ea golde	160.3	- id -	ed -
Design the second second		Harris Marie		1.77.	321	17 - 34 A	44	4.3

Errors and ambiguittes per 700 intread, or per total read length. For the dRhodamines, both the initial results and the results of the repeat analysis are shown.

2Length of the longest and second longest homopolymeric stretches in the primer.

3XY where X is the priming efficiency number generated by Oligo version 5:0; for potential secondary priming in the and Y is the value for a perfect match with that primer in general the higher the score, the higher the stability and quality of the priming at that site. A score of >200 will often give false priming results according to the Oligo's 0 manual.

effect sequence results (1) Tm and percent G+G;/e.g., 37°C/28%

over controller or or the delication

To and poroce! with the desired

and reactions (Figure 2 C and D) less of an obstacle for dRhodamine so and rable 3). Thus printers with low quencing than was anticipated. Printers and rable 3). Thus printers with low quencing than was anticipated. Printers 4321b; each provided sequence at well quencing than was anticipated. Primites Prinally was with very high secondaria with very high secondary priming and 44°C/28% for primer Nos. 72 and 4 scores at sites within the template pro-39, and others with high T. percent. Vided excellent sequence data. Control of the control primer Nos. 82 and 83, and submitted for control primer Nos. 80 and 84 and 64 primer Nos. 10Ab and 49b, had high control primer Nos. 80 and 84 and primer Nos. 10Ab and 49b had high There was no observable difference in 2082% for submitted primer No. secondary priming scores, and in each the results about the results.

case the homology encompassed the 3 end of the primer (Table 3). Nonethe less, these primers yielded very high-

Finally, we compared the sequence ing results generated with primers selected by software to the results of manually selected primers and to the

end and the state of the state BioTechniques / to the public of the rest to the public of the control of the public of the recorded and the control of the recorded and the recorded and the control of the recorded and the rec force printing results suppriding to the Chino 5.0 françai.

# Research Report

controlled conditions. Different results may be obtained using less carefully purified DNA templates with unusual sequences or structures or in less rigorously controlled sequencing operations.

ACTABLE SALES BUT LEAD BY SURFARIA.

निर्मान रेर्नुस्टे बडीचेंद्र राज्य रेन्स् रोजन राज्य

Finally, the data generated using old rhodamine reagents was, in general, poorer in quality than that generated us-ing the dRhodamine reagents. Moreover, the expected trends in comparing sequence performance and primer characteristics were more prominently ob-served vising the old riodamine reagents. We believe it possible in not likely, that the greater uniformity in peak height generated by the newer soquencing reagents (19) is at least par-tially responsible for this difference. Thus, background peaks are less likely to interfere with base calling, lessening the overall effects of potential problems like secondary priming and stutter.

Placify the fine gravitated wing old principality of page religious areas and the cobridity find that gallery top it. nip the chelippianishe real visits. Alon's discounties of the contest of the co ecologic beneficial medical reduced Carlistic and while industrial tiper iniodensity difficult reciplates evaluation of the results from a Thormas thermophilus minowa likely, that the greater uniformit; for perkindight generally by the nower sur ghenichte rengante (15) is at lesset protally seconstal for this differency. The plant was taken to be the second of the control of the

Prestly the Case granulated while our the lamine transport with its received, propertion of the production to page and the said the sai color this esimetal the its his exact who, s improve pullicampia conducione culture entiales was also per incerty contact a stage per cell Hillands.

The tentiales positive it for all the cells are the second positive in the green reasonably in grafi lought gure, must eil tier i easte so greature rangonis (1975 e 21 leagi perthis present to fir it divides the best of the said. The best of t

भेदः ५० २५ वर्षाः स्टब्स्स्य स्टब्स्स्य ५ २५ तु. स्टिन्स् स्टब्स्स्य १८ वर्षाः स्टब्स्स्य स्टब्स्स्य स्टब्स्स्य स्टब्स्स्य स्टब्स्स्य

AND THE WAR AND THE WAR AND A SECOND STATE OF THE SECOND STATE OF

g Johnson Williams I bernam in de skip. <del>Trans and the</del> grant in the transfer of the

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the ABRI for sponsoring this study and its members for their participation. We also thank John Fritz of the VCU Health Sciences Computing Center for his assistance in electronic distribution of the smidy and anonymous receipt of the responses. The ABRF is a nonprofit organization devoted to promoting excellence in biotechnology core facilities: Information about the ABRF and its programs can be obtained from the ABRF Business Office (Tel: 301-571-8300) or the ABRF Web site (http:// www.abrf.org).

AUGUNE WERENOMENTH THE REFERENCES iliAdams, P.S.; M.K. Dolejsin G. iGrills, S. harding, D.L. McMining, P. Morrison, J. Rush, S. Coff et al. 1998: An analysis of techniques used to improve the accuracy of amomand DNA sequencing of a GC tich template: Siresults from the 2nd ABRF DNA Sequence Research Group Study, J. Biomal Techniq.

2 Adams, P.S., M.K. Dolejsi, S. Hardin, S. Mis-che, B. Nanthakumar, H. Riethman, J. Rush and P. Morrisson, 1996 DNA sequencing of a isst sample. BioTechniques 21:678.
3. Grayburn, W.S. and T.L. Sims. 1998. An-

chored oligo(dT) primers for automated dye 17/terminator DNA sequencing. Bio Techniques

25:340-346.

4. Hyndman, D., A. Cooper, S. Prozinshky, D. Coad and M. Mitsuhashi. 1996. Software to

Coad and M. Mitsuhashi. 1996. Software to determine optimal oligomucleodde. sequences based on hybridization, simulation data. BioTechniques 20:1090-1097. Id. 14-211-15. Typinetich, E. J. L. Bibbs, R.L. Niees, N.D. Denison, C.W. Naeve, M. Rohde and I.H. Ericsion. 1997. Commercial biotech instrumentation survey on performance, support services Genetic Engineering News 77:17.

10: Genetic Engineering News 77:17.

11: Fowler and T.K. Hayes. 1993. Biotechnology

Fowler and T.K. Have. 1993. Biotechnology conv. facilities: tropds and undate. FASEB 1. 7.1109-1114.

Raczarowski, T. and W. Saybaltki. 1996. Co. 1996 and conv. facilities: tropds and undate. FASEB 1. 7.1109-1114.

Raczarowski, T. and W. Saybaltki. 1998. General Co. 1996 and 1996. General Research Co. 1996 and 1996 and 1996. General Research Co. 1996 and 1996 a

nomic, DNA sequencing by SPRI 6 primer walking using hexame lipation. Gene 223:83.

9 Latthe, R. 1986, Synthetic oligonucleotide probes deduced from animolacid sequence dan theoretical and practical considerations. J. Mol. Biol. 1831-12.

10. Maniatta T. E.F. Rritten and J. Sambrook.

1982, Molecular Cloning, A. Laboratory Mon.

1982 Molecular Loungs A Leborary Francisco

11.McGraw, R.A., E.K. Stelle and S.M. Baxter. 1990. Sequence-dependent oligonusisotidatarget duplex stabilities: rules from empirical studies with a set of twenty-mors. Bio Techniques 8:674-678.

(216) ". L'Gran 526

12. Naeve, C.W., G.A. Buck, R.L. Niece, R.T. Pou, M. Robertson and A.J. Smith. 1995. Accuracy of automated DNA sequencing: a multi-laboratory comparison of sequencing results. BioTechniques 19:448-453.

13.PE Biosystems, 1991. Extension primare: rec-

13.PE Biosystems. 1991. Extension primers: recommendations for sequence selection, synthesis, and purification. In User Bulletin 19. PB Biosystems. Foster City, CA.
14.Pon. R.T., C.A. Buck, K.M. Hager, C.W. Naeve, R. L. Niece, M. Robertson and A.J. Smith. 1996. Multi-facility survey of oligomendentide synthesis and an examination of the performance of unpurified primers in automated DNA sequencing. BioTechniques 21:680-685.

15. Pon., R.T., G.A., Burk, R.I., Niece, M., Robertson, A.J., Smith and E. Spicer, 1994. A survey of nucleic acid services in core laboratories. BioTechniques 17:526-534. (Au)01001 16. Rychilit, W. and R.E. Rhoads. 1989. A com-

16 Rychill, W. and R.E. Rhoads, 1989. A computer program for choosing optimal eligomodeoides for filter hybridization, sequencing and in vitro amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 17-8543-855.

17 Strauss, E.C. J.A. Kobort, G. Siu and T.E. Hood, 1986. Specific ruliner-directed DNA sequencing. Anal. Blochem. 19-353-350.

18 Yors, H.—S. Wiemann, D. Grothaca, C. Sensean, J. Zammermann, C. Schwager, J. Steemann, H. Erfle, T. Bronn and W. An.

Stegemann, H. Erfle, T. Rupp and W. Ansorge, 1993. Aniomated flow redundancy
large-scale DNA sequencing by primer walklarge-scale DNA sequencing by primer walkscale DNA sequencing by primer w

transfer dye terminator sequencing. Blo Techniques 25:406-414.

Received 20 January 1999; accepted 26 May 1999; state of the second of t

The manufacture of the constraint of the constra

ALL SOME CONTRACTORS

51 F.3d 1552 51 F.3d 1552, 63 USLW 2624, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 1210 (Cite as: 51 F.3d 1552)

291k16.3 k. Natural or Scientific Phenomena or Principles. Most Cited Cases

#### Patents 291 2 16.25

291 Patents

29111 Patentability

29111(A) Invention; Obviousness

291k16.25 k. Chemical Compounds. Most

Cited Cases

Combination of prior art reference teaching method of gene cloning, together with reference disclosing partial amino acid sequence for a protein that stimulated cell division, did not render deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and complementary DNA (cDNA) molecules encoding protein prima facie obvious; prior art did not disclose any relevant cDNA molecules, let alone close relatives of specific, structurally defined cDNA molecules of patent claims. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

#### [5] Patents 291 \$\infty\$ 16.3

291 Patents

291II Patentability

29111(A) Invention; Obviousness

291k16.3 k. Natural or Scientific

Phenomena or Principles. Most Cited Cases

#### Patents 291 6.25

291 Patents

29111 Patentability

291II(A) Invention; Obviousness

291k16.25 k. Chemical Compounds. Most

Cited Cases

Prior art disclosure of amino acid sequence of protein does not necessarily render particular deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules encoding protein obvious because redundancy of genetic code permits one to hypothesizing enormous number of DNA sequences coding for the protein; no particular one of these DNAs can be obvious unless there is something in prior art to lead to particular DNA and indicate that it should be prepared. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

#### [6] Patents 291 16.25

291 Patents

29111 Patentability

29111(A) Invention; Obviousness

291k16.25 k. Chemical Compounds. Most

Cited Cases

Existence of general method of isolating complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) or DNA molecules is essentially irrelevant to question of whether specific molecules themselves would have been obvious, in absence of other prior art that suggests claimed DNAs. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

#### 17] Patents 291 26(1)

291 Patents

29111 Patentability

291II(A) Invention; Obviousness

291k26 Combination

291k26(1) k. In General. Most Cited

Cases

Where there is prior art that suggests claimed compound, existence, or lack thereof, of enabling process for making that compound is factor in any patentability determination; there must, however, still be prior art that suggests claimed compound in order for prima facie case of obviousness to be made out. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

#### [8] Patents 291 \$\infty\$16(1)

291 Patents

291II Patentability

29111(A) Invention; Obviousness

291k16 Invention and Obviousness in

General

291k16(1) k. In General. Most\_Cited

Cases

General incentive does not make obvious particular result, nor does existence of techniques by which to make those efforts to be carried out. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

#### [9] Patents 291 26(1)

291 Patents

291II Patentability

2911I(A) Invention; Obviousness

291k26 Combination

291k26(1) k. In General. Most Cited

Cases

Fact that one can conceive general process in advance for preparing undefined compound does not mean that claimed specific compound was precisely envisioned and therefore obvious. 35 U.S.C.A. § 103.

#### [10] Patents 291 \$\infty\$26(1)

291 Patents

51 F.3d 1552 51 F.3d 1552, 63 USLW 2624, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 1210 (Cite as: 51 F.3d 1552)

growth factor of 168 amino acids having the following amino acid sequence: Met Gln Thr ... [remainder of 168 amino acid sequence].

7. The purified and isolated cDNA of bovine heparin-binding growth factor having the following nucleotide sequence: GAGTGGAGAG ... [remainder of 1196 nucleotide sequence].

Claims 4 and 6 generically encompass all isolated/purified DNA sequences (natural and synthetic) encoding human and bovine HBGFs, despite the fact that Deuel's application does not describe the chemical structure of, or tell how to obtain, any DNA or cDNA except the two disclosed cDNA molecules. Because of the redundancy of the genetic code, claims 4 and 6 each encompass an enormous number of DNA molecules, including the isolated/purified chromosomal DNAs encoding the human and bovine proteins. Claims 5 and 7, on the other hand, are directed to the specifically disclosed cDNA molecules encoding human and bovine HBGFs, respectively.

During prosecution, the examiner rejected claims 4-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over the combined teachings of Bohlen\*1556 Maniatis. FN4 The Bohlen reference discloses a group of protein growth factors designated as heparinbinding brain mitogens ("HBBMs") useful in treating burns and promoting the formation, maintenance, and repair of tissue, particularly neural tissue. Bohlen isolated three such HBBMs from human and bovine These proteins have respective brain tissue. molecular weights of 15 kD, 16 kD, and 18 kD. Bohlen determined the first 19 amino acids of the proteins' N-terminal sequences, which were found to be identical for human and bovine HBBMs. FN5 Bohlen teaches that HBBMs are brain-specific, and suggests that the proteins may be homologous between species. The reference provides no teachings concerning DNA or cDNA coding for HBBMs.

FN3. European Patent Application No. 0326075, naming Peter Bohlen as inventor, published August 2, 1989.

FN4. Maniatis et al., Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, "Screening Bacteriophage [lambda] Libraries for Specific DNA Sequences by Recombination in Escherichia coli," Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York, 1982, pp. 353-361.

FN5. Bohlen's disclosed N-terminal sequence for human and bovine HBBMs is Gly-Lys-Lys-Glu-Lys-Pro-Glu-Lys-Lys-Val-Lys-Ser-Asp-Cys-Gly-Glu-Trp-Gln. This sequence matches the first 19 amino acids of Deuel's disclosed N-terminal sequence.

Maniatis describes a method of isolating DNAs or cDNAs by screening a DNA or cDNA library with a gene probe. The reference outlines a general technique for cloning a gene; it does not describe how to isolate a particular DNA or cDNA molecule. Maniatis does not discuss certain steps necessary to isolate a target cDNA, e.g., selecting a tissue-specific cDNA library containing a target cDNA and designing an oligonucleotide probe that will hybridize with the target cDNA.

The examiner asserted that, given Bohlen's disclosure of a heparin-binding protein and its N-terminal sequence and Maniatis's gene cloning method, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to clone a gene for HBGF. FN6 According to the examiner, Bohlen's published N-terminal sequence would have motivated a person of ordinary skill in the art to clone such a gene because cloning the gene would allow recombinant production of HBGF, a useful protein. The examiner reasoned that a person of ordinary skill in the art could have designed a gene probe based on Bohlen's disclosed N-terminal sequence, then screened a DNA library in accordance with Maniatis's gene cloning method to isolate a gene The examiner did not encoding an HBGF. distinguish between claims 4 and 6 generically directed to all DNA sequences encoding human and bovine HBGFs and claims 5 and 7 reciting particular cDNAs.

<u>FN6.</u> The examiner and the Board apparently used the term "gene" to refer both to natural (chromosomal) DNA and synthetic cDNA. We will use the several terms as appropriate.

In reply, Deuel argued, *inter alia*, that Bohlen teaches away from the claimed cDNA molecules because Bohlen suggests that HBBMs are brain-specific and, thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have tried to isolate corresponding cDNA clones from human placental and bovine uterine cDNA libraries. The examiner made the rejection final,

(Cite as: 51 F.3d 1552)

#### however, asserting that

[t]he starting materials are not relevant in this case, because it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made that proteins, especially the general class of heparin binding proteins, are highly homologous between species and tissue type. It would have been entirely obvious to attempt to isolate a known protein from different tissue types and even different species.

No prior art was cited to support the proposition that it would have been obvious to screen human placental and bovine uterine cDNA libraries for the claimed cDNA clones. Presumably, the examiner was relying on Bohlen's suggestion that HBBMs may be homologous between species, although the examiner did not explain how homology between species suggests homology between tissue types.

The Board affirmed the examiner's final rejection. In its opening remarks, the Board noted that it is "constantly advised by the \*1557 patent examiners, who are highly skilled in this art, that cloning procedures are routine in the art." According to the Board, "the examiners urge that when the sequence of a protein is placed into the public domain, the gene is also placed into the public domain because of the routine nature of cloning techniques." Addressing the rejection at issue, the Board determined that Bohlen's disclosure of the existence and isolation of HBBM, a functional protein, would also advise a person of ordinary skill in the art that a gene exists encoding HBBM. The Board found that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to isolate such a gene because the protein has useful mitogenic properties, and isolating the gene for HBBM would permit large quantities of the protein to be produced for study and possible commercial use. Like the examiner, the Board asserted, without explanation, that HBBMs are the same as HBGFs and that the genes encoding these proteins are identical. The Board concluded that "the Bohlen reference would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in this art that they should make the gene, and the Maniatis reference would have taught a technique for 'making' the gene with a reasonable expectation of success." Responding to Deuel's argument that the claimed cDNA clones were isolated from human placental and bovine uterine cDNA libraries, whereas the combined teachings of Bohlen and Maniatis would only have suggested screening a brain tissue cDNA library, the Board stated that "the claims before us are directed to the product and not the method of isolation. Appellants have not shown that the claimed DNA was not present in and could not have been readily isolated from the brain tissue utilized by Bohlen." Deuel now appeals. FN7

FN7. Deuel is supported in its appeal by an amicus curiae brief submitted by the Biotechnology Industry Organization and the Bay Area Science Center. Amici urge that, contrary to controlling precedent, the PTO has unlawfully adopted a per se rule that a gene is prima facie obvious when at least part of the amino acid sequence of the protein encoded by the gene is known in the prior art.

#### DISCUSSION

[1][2][3] Obviousness is a question of law, which we review de novo, though factual findings underlying the Board's obviousness determination are reviewed for clear error. In re Vaeck, 947 F.2d 488, 493, 20 USPQ2d 1438, 1442 (Fed.Cir.1991); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1577, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1935 (Fed.Cir.1990). The examiner bears the burden of establishing a prima facie case of obviousness. In re Rijckaert, 9 F.3d 1531, 1532, 28 USPQ2d 1955, 1956 (Fed.Cir.1993); In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 1445, 24 USPO2d 1443, 1444 (Fed.Cir.1992). Only if this burden is met does the burden of coming forward with rebuttal argument or evidence shift to the applicant. Rijckaert, 9 F.3d at 1532, 28 USPQ2d at 1956. When the references cited by the examiner fail to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, the rejection is improper and will be overturned. In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 1074, 5 USPQ2d 1596, 1598 (Fed.Cir.1988).

[4] On appeal, Deuel challenges the Board's determination that the applied references establish a prima facie case of obviousness. In response, the PTO maintains that the claimed invention would have been prima facie obvious over the combined teachings of Bohlen and Maniatis. Thus, the appeal raises the important question whether the combination of a prior art reference teaching a method of gene cloning, together with a reference disclosing a partial amino acid sequence of a protein, may render DNA and cDNA molecules encoding the protein prima facie obvious under § 103.

Deuel argues that the PTO failed to follow the proper legal standard in determining that the claimed cDNA molecules would have been *prima facie* obvious despite the lack of structurally similar compounds in the prior art. Deuel argues that the PTO has not

51 F.3d 1552 51 F.3d 1552, 63 USLW 2624, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 1210 (Cite as: 51 F.3d 1552)

cited a reference teaching cDNA molecules, but instead has improperly rejected the claims based on the alleged obviousness of a method of making the molecules. We agree.

Because Deuel claims new chemical entities in structural terms, a prima facie case of unpatentability requires that the teachings of the prior art suggest the claimed compounds to a person of ordinary skill in \*1558 Normally a prima facie case of the art. obviousness is based upon structural similarity, i.e., an established structural relationship between a prior art compound and the claimed compound. Structural relationships may provide the requisite motivation or suggestion to modify known compounds to obtain new compounds. For example, a prior art compound may suggest its homologs because homologs often have similar properties and therefore chemists of ordinary skill would ordinarily contemplate making them to try to obtain compounds with improved Similarly, a known compound may properties. suggest its analogs or isomers, either geometric isomers (cis v. trans) or position isomers (e.g., ortho v. para).

In all of these cases, however, the prior art teaches a specific, structurally-definable compound and the question becomes whether the prior art would have molecular suggested making the specific modifications necessary to achieve the claimed invention. See In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 351, 21 USPQ2d 1941, 1944 (Fed.Cir.1992); In re Dillon, 919 F.2d 688, 692, 16 USPQ2d 1897, 1901 (Fed.Cir.1990) (en banc) ("structural similarity between claimed and prior art subject matter, ... where the prior art gives reason or motivation to make the claimed compositions, creates a prima facie case of obviousness"), cert. denied, 500 U.S. 904, 111 S.Ct. 1682, 114 L.Ed.2d 77 (1991); In re Grabiak, 769 F.2d 729, 731-32, 226 USPQ 870, 872 (Fed.Cir.1985) ("[I]n the case before us there must be adequate support in the prior art for the [prior art] ester/[claimed] thioester change in structure, in order to complete the PTO's prima facie case and shift the burden of going forward to the applicant."); In re Lalu, 747 F.2d 703, 705, 223 USPQ 1257, 1258 (Fed.Cir.1984) ("The prior art must provide one of ordinary skill in the art the motivation to make the proposed molecular modifications needed to arrive at the claimed compound.").

Here, the prior art does not disclose any relevant cDNA molecules, let alone close relatives of the specific, structurally-defined cDNA molecules of claims 5 and 7 that might render them obvious.

Maniatis suggests an allegedly obvious process for trying to isolate cDNA molecules, but that, as we will indicate below, does not fill the gap regarding the subject matter of claims 5 and 7. Further, while the general idea of the claimed molecules, their function, and their general chemical nature may have been obvious from Bohlen's teachings, and the knowledge that some gene existed may have been clear, the precise cDNA molecules of claims 5 and 7 would not have been obvious over the Bohlen reference because Bohlen teaches proteins, not the claimed or closely related cDNA molecules. The redundancy of the genetic code precluded contemplation of or focus on the specific cDNA molecules of claims 5 and 7. Thus, one could not have conceived the subject matter of claims 5 and 7 based on the teachings in the cited prior art because, until the claimed molecules were actually isolated and purified, it would have been highly unlikely for one of ordinary skill in the art to contemplate what was ultimately obtained. What cannot be contemplated or conceived cannot be obvious.

The PTO's theory that one might have been motivated to try to do what Deuel in fact accomplished amounts to speculation and an impermissible hindsight reconstruction of the claimed invention. It also ignores the fact that claims 5 and 7 are limited to specific compounds, and any motivation that existed was a general one, to try to obtain a gene that was yet undefined and may have constituted many forms. A general motivation to search for some gene that exists does not necessarily make obvious a specifically-defined gene that is subsequently obtained as a result of that search. More is needed and it is not found here.

[5] The genetic code relationship between proteins and nucleic acids does not overcome the deficiencies of the cited references. A prior art disclosure of the amino acid sequence of a protein does not necessarily render particular DNA molecules encoding the protein obvious because the redundancy of the genetic code permits one to hypothesize an enormous number of DNA sequences coding for the protein. No particular one of these DNAs can be obvious unless there is something in the prior art to lead to the particular DNA and indicate that it should be \*1559 prepared. We recently held in In re Baird, 16 F.3d 380, 29 USPQ2d 1550 (Fed.Cir.1994), that a broad genus does not necessarily render obvious each compound within its scope. Similarly, knowledge of a protein does not give one a conception of a particular DNA encoding it. Thus, a fortiori, Bohlen's disclosure of the N-terminal portion of a

protein, which the PTO urges is the same as HBGF, would not have suggested the particular cDNA molecules defined by claims 5 and 7. This is so even though one skilled in the art knew that some DNA, albeit not in purified and isolated form, did exist. The compounds of claims 5 and 7 are specific compounds not suggested by the prior art. different result might pertain, however, if there were prior art, e.g., a protein of sufficiently small size and simplicity, so that lacking redundancy, each possible DNA would be obvious over the protein. See In re Petering, 301 F.2d 676 (CCPA 1962) (prior art reference disclosing limited genus of 20 compounds rendered every species within the unpatentable). That is not the case here.

The PTO's focus on known methods for potentially isolating the claimed DNA molecules is also misplaced because the claims at issue define compounds, not methods. See In re Bell, 991 F.2d 781, 785, 26 USPQ2d 1529, 1532 (Fed.Cir.1993). In Bell, the PTO asserted a rejection based upon the combination of a primary reference disclosing a protein (and its complete amino acid sequence) with a secondary reference describing a general method of gene cloning. We reversed the rejection, holding in part that "[t]he PTO's focus on Bell's method is misplaced. Bell does not claim a method. claims compositions, and the issue is the obviousness of the claimed compositions, not of the method by which they are made." Id.

[6][7][8] We today reaffirm the principle, stated in Bell, that the existence of a general method of isolating cDNA or DNA molecules is essentially irrelevant to the question whether the specific molecules themselves would have been obvious, in the absence of other prior art that suggests the claimed DNAs. A prior art disclosure of a process reciting a particular compound or obvious variant thereof as a product of the process is, of course, another matter, raising issues of anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as well as obviousness under § 103. Moreover, where there is prior art that suggests a claimed compound, the existence, or lack thereof, of an enabling process for making that compound is surely a factor in any patentability determination. See In re Brown, 329 F.2d 1006, 141 USPQ 245 (CCPA 1964) (reversing rejection for lack of an enabling method of making the claimed compound). There must, however, still be prior art that suggests the claimed compound in order for a prima facie case of obviousness to be made out; as we have already indicated, that prior art was lacking here with respect to claims 5 and 7. Thus, even if, as the examiner

stated, the existence of general cloning techniques, coupled with knowledge of a protein's structure, might have provided motivation to prepare a cDNA or made it obvious to prepare a cDNA, that does not necessarily make obvious a particular claimed cDNA. "Obvious to try" has long been held not to constitute obviousness. In re O'Farrell, 853 F.2d 894, 903, 7 USPQ2d 1673, 1680-81 (Fed.Cir.1988). A general incentive does not make obvious a particular result, nor does the existence of techniques by which those efforts can be carried out. Thus, Maniatis's teachings, even in combination with Bohlen, fail to suggest the claimed invention.

[9][10] The PTO argues that a compound may be defined by its process of preparation and therefore that a conceived process for making or isolating it provides a definition for it and can render it obvious. It cites Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., 927 F.2d 1200, 18 USPQ2d 1016 (Fed.Cir.), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 856, 112 S.Ct. 169, 116 L.Ed.2d 132 (1991), for that proposition. We disagree. The fact that one can conceive a general process in advance for preparing an undefined compound does not mean that a claimed specific compound was precisely envisioned and therefore obvious. substance may indeed be defined by its process of That occurs, however, when it has preparation. already been prepared by that process and one therefore knows that the result of that process is the The process is part of the stated compound. definition of the compound.\*1560 But that is not possible in advance, especially when the hypothetical process is only a general one. Thus, a conceived method of preparing some undefined DNA does not define it with the precision necessary to render it obvious over the protein it encodes. We did not state otherwise in Amgen. See Amgen, 927 F.2d at 1206-09, 18 USPO2d at 1021-23 (isolated/purified human gene held nonobvious; no conception of gene without envisioning its precise identity despite conception of general process of preparation).

We conclude that, because the applied references do not teach or suggest the claimed cDNA molecules, the final rejection of claims 5 and 7 must be reversed. See also <u>Bell</u>, 991 F.2d at 784-85, 26 USPQ2d at <u>1531-32</u> (human DNA sequences encoding IGF proteins nonobvious over asserted combination of references showing gene cloning method and complete amino acid sequences of IGFs).

[11] Claims 4 and 6 are of a different scope than claims 5 and 7. As is conceded by Deuel, they generically encompass all DNA sequences encoding

51 F.3d 1552

51 F.3d 1552, 63 USLW 2624, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 1210

(Cite as: 51 F.3d 1552)

human and bovine HBGFs. Written in such a resultoriented form, claims 4 and 6 are thus tantamount to the general idea of all genes encoding the protein, all solutions to the problem. Such an idea might have been obvious from the complete amino acid sequence of the protein, coupled with knowledge of the genetic code, because this information may have enabled a person of ordinary skill in the art to envision the idea of, and, perhaps with the aid of a computer, even identify all members of the claimed genus. Bohlen reference, however, only discloses a partial amino acid sequence, and thus it appears that, based on the above analysis, the claimed genus would not have been obvious over this prior art disclosure. We will therefore also reverse the final rejection of claims 4 and 6 because neither the Board nor the patent examiner articulated any separate reasons for holding these claims unpatentable apart from the grounds discussed above.

One further matter requires comment. Because Deuel's patent application does not describe how to obtain any DNA except the disclosed cDNA molecules, claims 4 and 6 may be considered to be inadequately supported by the disclosure of the application. See generally Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., 927 F.2d 1200, 1212-14, 18 USPQ2d 1016, 1026-28 (Fed.Cir.) (generic DNA sequence claims held invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 856, 112 S.Ct. 169, 116 L.Ed.2d 132 (1991); In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970) (Section 112 "requires that the scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation to the scope of enablement provided by the specification to persons of ordinary skill in the art."). As this issue is not before us, however, we will not address whether claims 4 and 6 satisfy the enablement requirement of § 112, first paragraph, but will leave to the PTO the question whether any further rejection is appropriate.

We have considered the PTO's remaining arguments and find them not persuasive.

#### CONCLUSION

The Board's decision affirming the final rejection of claims 4-7 is reversed.

REVERSED

C.A.Fed.,1995. In re Deuel 51 F.3d 1552, 63 USLW 2624, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 1210 END OF DOCUMENT

#### 10. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None

#### CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that the various rejections of claims 16-21 and 24-30 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are improper and should be withdrawn. Fairness to Applicant requires reversal of the non-final rejection; therefore, such reversal is solicited.

Very respectfully,

SMITH & HOPEN, P.A.

USPTO Reg. No. 57,422 Dated: June 25, 2007 Thomas E. Toner 180 Pine Avenue North Oldsmar, FL 34677 (813) 925-8505 Attorneys for Appellant

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.10

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this Appeal Brief is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee Mailing Label No. EM057595284US, addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on. June 25, 2007

April Turley